



Las Vegas Sands Corporation

# 2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

**Important: this export excludes unanswered questions**

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

# Contents

<b>C1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>7</b>
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response? .....	7
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response. ....	7
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization. ....	7
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years. ....	8
(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period? .....	8
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary. ....	8
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)? .....	8
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate. ....	10
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities? .....	10
(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities. ....	10
(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source. ....	12
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain? .....	19
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of? .....	20
(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)? .....	20
<b>C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities .....</b>	<b>23</b>
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? .....	23
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts? .....	24
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?.....	24
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities. ....	24
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed? .....	36
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain? .....	36
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization? .....	37
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health? .....	38
(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities. ....	38
<b>C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities.....</b>	<b>40</b>
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? .....	40
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations? .....	41
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?.....	42

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? .....	42
<b>C4. Governance .....</b>	<b>44</b>
(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? .....	44
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization? .....	45
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues. ....	45
(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues? .....	49
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization? .....	50
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). ....	51
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?.....	54
(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).....	56
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues? .....	60
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies. ....	60
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives? .....	61
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? .....	62
(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year? .....	63
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response? .....	67
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication. ....	67
<b>C5. Business strategy.....</b>	<b>69</b>
(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes? .....	69
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis. ....	70
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis. ....	77
(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?.....	78
(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition? .....	80
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year? .....	80
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?.....	81
(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon. ....	81
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues? .....	83
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?.....	84
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?.....	86
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process? .....	88
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.....	90

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues. ....	92
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain. ....	96
(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members. ....	98
(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement? .....	99
<b>C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach .....</b>	<b>100</b>
(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data. ....	100
<b>C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change .....</b>	<b>101</b>
(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP? .....	101
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data? .....	101
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year? .....	101
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions. ....	101
(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions. ....	101
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure? .....	102
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions. ....	102
(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e? .....	108
(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e? .....	108
(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions. ...	109
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions. ....	115
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements. ....	116
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements. ....	117
(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements. ....	118
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year? .....	119
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year. ....	119
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure? .....	123
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization? .....	124
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type? .....	124
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area. ....	124
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....	124
(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity. ....	124
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....	125
(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity. ....	125

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response. ....	125
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response? .....	126
(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary. ....	126
(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period. ....	127
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges? .....	128
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future? .....	128
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy? .....	129
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken. ....	129
(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.....	129
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel. ....	132
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type. ....	132
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year. ....	136
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7. ....	138
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year. ....	140
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations. ....	141
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business. ....	142
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year? .....	143
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets. ....	143
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year? .....	149
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases. ....	149
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings. ....	149
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below. ....	149
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities? .....	151
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services? .....	154
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products? .....	155
(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....	155
(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year. ....	155

## **C8. Environmental performance - Forests..... 157**

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data? .....	157
(8.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.....	157
(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity. ....	160
(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes. ....	160
(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year? .....	168

(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used. ....	170
(8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes. ....	172
(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities. ....	173
(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities. ....	175
(8.11) For volumes not assessed and determined as deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF), indicate if you have taken actions in the reporting year to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes. ....	176
(8.11.1) Provide details of actions taken in the reporting year to assess and increase production/sourcing of deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) volumes. ....	177
(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members. ....	177
(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain? ....	178
(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details. ....	179
(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals? ....	180
(8.15.1) Indicate the criteria you consider when prioritizing landscapes and jurisdictions for engagement in collaborative approaches to sustainable land use and provide an explanation. ....	180
(8.15.2) Provide details of your engagement with landscape/jurisdictional initiatives to sustainable land use during the reporting year. ....	180
(8.15.3) For each of your disclosed commodities, provide details on the disclosure volume from each of the landscapes/jurisdictions you engage in. ....	182
(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains? ....	183
(8.16.1) Provide details of the external activities to support the implementation of your policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains ....	183
(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection? ....	184
(8.17.1) Provide details on your project(s), including the extent, duration, and monitoring frequency. Please specify any measured outcome(s). ....	184

## **C9. Environmental performance - Water security..... 187**

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data? ....	187
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored? ....	187
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change? ....	192
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change. ....	194
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source. ....	194
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination. ....	197
(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge. ....	198
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? ....	200
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member? .....	201

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency. ....	201
(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services. ....	201
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority? ....	202
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact? ....	202
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets? ....	203
(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories. ....	203
(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made. ....	204
<b>C10. Environmental performance - Plastics .....</b>	<b>208</b>
(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type? ....	208
(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities. ....	208
(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content. ....	210
(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used. ....	211
(10.6) Provide the total weight of waste generated by the plastic you produce, commercialize, use and/or process and indicate the end-of-life management pathways. ....	211
<b>C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity .....</b>	<b>213</b>
(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments? ....	213
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities? ....	213
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year? ....	213
(11.4.1) Provide details of your organization's activities in the reporting year located in or near to areas important for biodiversity. ....	214
<b>C13. Further information &amp; sign off .....</b>	<b>216</b>
(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party? ....	216
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used? ....	216
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored. ....	217
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. ....	218
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website. ....	218



## C1. Introduction

### (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

### (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ USD

### (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

#### (1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

#### (1.3.3) Description of organization

*Las Vegas Sands Corp. ("LVSC," or together with its subsidiaries "we" or the "Company") is the leading global developer and operator of destination properties ("Integrated Resorts") that feature premium accommodations, world-class gaming, entertainment and retail malls, convention and exhibition facilities, celebrity chef restaurants and other amenities. We currently own and operate Integrated Resorts in Macao and Singapore. We believe our geographic diversity, best-in-class properties and convention-based business model provide us with the best platform in the hospitality and gaming industry to continue generating growth and cash flow while simultaneously pursuing new development opportunities. We focus on the mass market, which comprises our most profitable gaming segment. We believe the mass market segment will continue to deliver long-term growth as a result of continuing economic growth, expansion of the middle class and increasing number of high net worth individuals across our markets in Asia. We also offer loyalty programs at our properties, which provide access to rewards, privileges and members-only events. Additionally, we believe being in the retail mall business and, specifically, owning some of the largest retail properties in Asia will provide meaningful value for us, particularly as the retail market in Asia continues to grow. Our properties also cater to high-end players by providing them with luxury amenities and premium service levels. These amenities include luxury accommodations, restaurants, lounges, invitation-only clubs and private gaming salons. In each of the regions where we operate, the Paiza brand is associated with certain of these exclusive facilities and represents an important part of our VIP gaming marketing strategy. Our unique convention-based marketing strategy allows us to attract business travelers during the slower mid-week periods while leisure travelers occupy our properties during the weekends. Our convention, trade show and meeting facilities, combined with the on-site amenities offered at our Macao and Singapore Integrated Resorts, provide flexible and expansive space for meetings, incentives, conventions and exhibitions ("MICE"). Through our majority ownership of Sands China Ltd. ("SCL"), we own and operate a collection of Integrated Resorts in the Macao Special Administrative Region ("Macao") of the People's Republic of China ("PRC" or "China"). These properties include The Venetian Macao Resort Hotel ("The Venetian Macao"); The Londoner Macao; The Parisian Macao; The Plaza Macao and Four Seasons Hotel Macao, Cotai Strip (the "Four Seasons Macao"); and the Sands Macao. In Singapore, we own and operate the iconic Marina Bay Sands, which opened in 2010 and is one of Singapore's major tourist, business and retail destinations.*

[Fixed row]



(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	12/31/2024	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?

11298000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US5178341070

## CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

## Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

LVS

## SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

## LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

## D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

16-720-2667

## Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- ☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region
- ☒ Singapore
- ☒ United States of America

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, for some facilities	Provided for all integrated resort properties

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Venetian Macao

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.147252

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.559839

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Parisian Macao

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.14386

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.561915

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Londoner Macao

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.145979

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.565313

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Plaza Macao & Four Seasons

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.150267

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.561847

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Sands Macao

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.191889

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.554838

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

### Row 6

#### (1.8.1.1) Identifier

Marina Bay Sands

#### (1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.283983

#### (1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.859149

#### (1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

[Add row]

### (1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.

#### Timber products

#### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

#### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Retailing

#### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is unknown

#### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Boards, plywood, engineered wood

☒ Paper

### (1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

☒ Yes, disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ No

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*We do not consider timber an agricultural commodity that is significant to our organization There are substitutes available for our organization for timber products that we source.*

## Cattle products

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Retailing

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is unknown

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Beef

### (1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

☒ Yes, disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ No

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*Beef is not an agricultural commodity that is significant to our organization as it is used in limited menu items at our wide variety of food and beverage venues.*

**Soy**

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Retailing

### (1.22.3) Indicate if you have direct soy and/or embedded soy in your value chain

Select from:

☒ Direct soy only

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is unknown



### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- ☒ Soybean oil
- ☒ Soy derivatives
- ☒ Whole soybeans

### (1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

- ☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

- ☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

- ☒ No

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*Soy is not an agricultural commodity that is significant to our organization as it is used in limited menu items at our wide variety of food and beverage venues.*

## Rubber

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- ☒ Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Retailing

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is unknown

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

*Select all that apply*

☒ Other, please specify :rubber bands, rubber in construction material

### (1.22.12) % of procurement spend

*Select from:*

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

*Select from:*

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

*Select from:*

☒ No, not disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

*Select from:*

☒ No

### (1.22.16) Reason for not disclosing

*Select all that apply*

☒ Data is not available

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

☒ Small volume

☒ Small procurement spend

### (1.22.18) Explanation for not disclosing

*Data is not available, and the commodity comprises a very small portion of small procurement spend and revenue.*

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*The commodity comprises a very small portion of small procurement spend and revenue.*

## Cocoa

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

*Select from:*

☒ Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

*Select all that apply*

☒ Retailing

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

*Select from:*

☒ No, the total volume is unknown

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

*Select all that apply*

☒ Other, please specify :chocolate

### (1.22.12) % of procurement spend

*Select from:*

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

*Select from:*

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

*Select from:*

☒ No, not disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

*Select from:*

☒ No

### (1.22.16) Reason for not disclosing

*Select all that apply*

☒ Data is not available

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

☒ Small volume

☒ Small procurement spend

☒ Small revenue

### (1.22.18) Explanation for not disclosing

*Data is not available, and the commodity comprises a very small portion of small procurement spend and revenue.*

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*The commodity comprises a very small portion of small procurement spend and revenue.*

## Coffee

### (1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

*Select from:*

☒ Sourced

### (1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

*Select all that apply*

☒ Retailing

### (1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

*Select from:*

☒ No, the total volume is unknown

### (1.22.11) Form of commodity

*Select all that apply*

☒ Other, please specify :coffee

### (1.22.12) % of procurement spend

*Select from:*

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

*Select from:*

☒ Less than 1%

### (1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

*Select from:*

☒ No, not disclosing

### (1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ No

### (1.22.16) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

- ☒ Data is not available
- ☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)
- ☒ Small volume
- ☒ Small procurement spend
- ☒ Small revenue

### (1.22.18) Explanation for not disclosing

*Data is not available, and the commodity comprises a very small portion of small procurement spend and revenue.*

### (1.22.19) Please explain

*The commodity comprises a very small portion of small procurement spend and revenue.*  
[Fixed row]

## (1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

### (1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

### (1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

- ☒ Upstream value chain

### (1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

### (1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Tier 2 suppliers

### (1.24.6) Smallholder inclusion in mapping

Select from:

- ☒ Smallholders relevant and included

### (1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Value chain mapping is completed annually for all key commodity groups to develop an annual category strategy for each property. Procurement department uses both a procure to pay and finance system to collect and manage supplier and spend information.

[Fixed row]

## **(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?**

### **(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain

### **(1.24.1.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping**

Select all that apply

☒ End-of-life management

### **(1.24.1.4) End-of-life management pathways mapped**

Select all that apply

☒ Recycling

☒ Composting (industrial/home)

☒ Waste to Energy

☒ Incineration

☒ Landfill

[Fixed row]

## **(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?**

### **Timber products**

### **(1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity**

Select from:

☒ Yes

### **(1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity**

Select from:

☒ Tier 3 suppliers

### **(1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped**

Select from:

☒ 76-99%

#### (1.24.2.4) % of tier 2 suppliers mapped

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

#### (1.24.2.5) % of tier 3 suppliers mapped

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

#### (1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

☒ Tier 4+ suppliers

### Cattle products

#### (1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

☒ Tier 2 suppliers

#### (1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:

☒ 76-99%

#### (1.24.2.4) % of tier 2 suppliers mapped

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

#### (1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

☒ Tier 4+ suppliers

### Soy

#### (1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity



Select from:

☒ Tier 2 suppliers

**(1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped**

Select from:

☒ 76-99%

**(1.24.2.4) % of tier 2 suppliers mapped**

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

**(1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity**

Select from:

☒ Tier 3 suppliers

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*The time horizon is consistent with our ERM program used for strategic and financial planning.*

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*The time horizon is consistent with our ERM program used for strategic and financial planning.*

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

25

## (2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

The time horizon is consistent with our ERM program used for strategic and financial planning.

[Fixed row]

## (2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

## (2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

## (2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

### Row 1

#### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Water

#### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Direct operations

### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

*Select from:*

- ☒ Full

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

- ☒ Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Short-term  
☒ Medium-term  
☒ Long-term

### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

*Select from:*

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Site-specific

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ WRI Aqueduct  
☒ WWF Water Risk Filter

Other

- ☒ Scenario analysis

### (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

#### Acute physical

- ☒ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☒ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

#### Chronic physical

- ☒ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☒ Sea level rise
- ☒ Water stress

#### Policy

- ☒ Increased pricing of water standards for previously unregulated contaminants
- ☒ Changes to national legislation
- ☒ Regulation of discharge quality/volumes
- ☒ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- ☒ Mandatory water efficiency, conservation, recycling, or process standards
- ☒ Introduction of regulatory

#### Market

- ☒ Changing customer behavior

#### Reputation

- ☒ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

#### Technology

- ☒ Transition to water efficient and low water intensity technologies and products

#### Liability

- ☒ Non-compliance with regulations

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ NGOs
- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Investors
- ☒ Suppliers
- ☒ Regulators
- ☒ Local communities
- ☒ Water utilities at a local level

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

We conduct an annual water risk assessment aligned with the TCFD Framework to assess our climate and water related risks and opportunities for each of our direct operation sites using the WRI Aqueduct, WWF Water Risk Filter and a proprietary risk assessment tool, factoring in scenario analysis of business as usual, optimistic and pessimistic scenarios, and at present, medium term, and long term horizons that are consistent with time frame definitions of our ERM program. The water risks we analyze include tropical storms, heavy precipitation, flood, sea level rise and water stress. We also track water price and regulatory transition risks. We commenced this year to evaluate the physical climate and water risks at the primary location of our critical suppliers that are identified through our supplier risk framework that is part of the company's ERM program.

## Row 2

### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Full

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☒ Every two years

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☒ Site-specific

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

☒ Desk-based research

☒ External consultants

☒ Materiality assessment

☒ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☒ Customers

☒ Indigenous peoples

☒ Employees

☒ Suppliers

☒ Regulators

☒ Local communities

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*Our materiality assessment identifies material ESG topics based on the relative significance of our company's impact on the world. Understanding this universe of topics guides the strategic direction of our program and drives our disclosure efforts. We manage our materiality process on a cycle aligned with development of our five-year strategy, ambitions and targets. The five-year materiality assessment process includes an annual review of emerging topics for consideration or prioritization shifts. We conduct a more comprehensive midpoint check-in with a robust stakeholder engagement and sentiment analysis, then make updates to the matrix disclosed in the ESG Report. In 2023, we updated our process to align with the concept of double materiality, which analyzes sustainability topics on both outward impact on the environment, society and economy, and inward impact on the company. Utilizing a broad list of 20 ESG topics defined by various external frameworks and thought leaders, we conducted assessment of our outward and inward impact. With the assistance of a third party, we undertook desktop research to assess both outward and inward impacts across various sources, including peers, ESG leaders, investor ESG ratings and rankings, trend reports, media coverage and internal documents. Complementing this research, we conducted stakeholder engagement to gain a deeper understanding of the perceptions of both internal and external stakeholders. We identified relevant stakeholders and rights-holders who were to be involved in the assessment and used various engagement methods, including surveys, focus groups and interviews. The information from desktop research and stakeholder engagement was translated into a numeric scoring scale. Determination of inward impact was driven by a combination of our company's risk assessment, internal stakeholder engagement and desktop research. We determined outward impact using the results of both the desktop research and stakeholder engagement. Stakeholder perspectives were also documented separately and will be used internally to identify trends over time. Using scoring results, we determined a threshold for prioritizing the top 11 topics by combining the top 25% of issues from each axis with issues of high stakeholder importance. We then held a session with select executives to review and validate findings and the threshold by which we consider topics to be material. Final results were presented to and approved by the nomination and governance committee of our board of directors. We review our materiality assessment annually to identify and assess emerging topics or significant changes to issue prioritization. This*



annual process considers feedback collected through stakeholder engagement performed company-wide, desktop research and evaluation of our progress on the indicators disclosed in our ESG Report. The revised 2023 materiality process described above utilized a new process and list of topics for prioritization. As a result, our material ESG topics have shifted compared to our prior assessment. We used assessment results to refine our corporate responsibility platform, including streamlining areas of focus and reorganizing some topics to better reflect the latest ESG trends and expectations.

## Row 3

### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Water

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Upstream value chain

### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Partial

### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative only

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☒ Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Medium-term

- ☒ Long-term

### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

*Select from:*

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Site-specific

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ WRI Aqueduct
- ☒ WWF Water Risk Filter

Other

- ☒ Scenario analysis

### (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☒ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Chronic physical

- ☒ Sea level rise
- ☒ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ☒ Water stress

Policy

- ☒ Changes to national legislation
- ☒ Increased pricing of water

Market

- ☒ Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
- ☒ Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

Reputation

- ☒ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

Technology

- ☒ Transition to water efficient and low water intensity technologies and products

Liability

☒ Non-compliance with regulations

#### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

*Select all that apply*

☒ Local communities

☒ Suppliers

#### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

*Select from:*

☒ No

#### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*We evaluated the physical climate and water risks at the primary location of our critical suppliers that are identified through our supplier risk framework that is part of the company's ERM program.*

### Row 4

#### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

☒ Water

#### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

#### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

#### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

*Select from:*

☒ Full

#### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

*Select all that apply*

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Every two years

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

- ☒ Desk-based research
- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Materiality assessment
- ☒ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Suppliers
- ☒ Regulators
- ☒ Local communities
- ☒ Indigenous peoples

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*Our materiality assessment identifies material ESG topics based on the relative significance of our company's impact on the world. Understanding this universe of topics guides the strategic direction of our program and drives our disclosure efforts. We manage our materiality process on a cycle aligned with development of our five-year*

strategy, ambitions and targets. The five-year materiality assessment process includes an annual review of emerging topics for consideration or prioritization shifts. We conduct a more comprehensive midpoint check-in with a robust stakeholder engagement and sentiment analysis, then make updates to the matrix disclosed in the ESG Report. In 2023, we updated our process to align with the concept of double materiality, which analyzes sustainability topics on both outward impact on the environment, society and economy, and inward impact on the company. Utilizing a broad list of 20 ESG topics defined by various external frameworks and thought leaders, we conducted assessment of our outward and inward impact. With the assistance of a third party, we undertook desktop research to assess both outward and inward impacts across various sources, including peers, ESG leaders, investor ESG ratings and rankings, trend reports, media coverage and internal documents. Complementing this research, we conducted stakeholder engagement to gain a deeper understanding of the perceptions of both internal and external stakeholders. We identified relevant stakeholders and rights-holders who were to be involved in the assessment and used various engagement methods, including surveys, focus groups and interviews. The information from desktop research and stakeholder engagement was translated into a numeric scoring scale. Determination of inward impact was driven by a combination of our company's risk assessment, internal stakeholder engagement and desktop research. We determined outward impact using the results of both the desktop research and stakeholder engagement. Stakeholder perspectives were also documented separately and will be used internally to identify trends over time. Using scoring results, we determined a threshold for prioritizing the top 11 topics by combining the top 25% of issues from each axis with issues of high stakeholder importance. We then held a session with select executives to review and validate findings and the threshold by which we consider topics to be material. Final results were presented to and approved by the nomination and governance committee of our board of directors. We review our materiality assessment annually to identify and assess emerging topics or significant changes to issue prioritization. This annual process considers feedback collected through stakeholder engagement performed company-wide, desktop research and evaluation of our progress on the indicators disclosed in our ESG Report. The revised 2023 materiality process described above utilized a new process and list of topics for prioritization. As a result, our material ESG topics have shifted compared to our prior assessment. We used assessment results to refine our corporate responsibility platform, including streamlining areas of focus and reorganizing some topics to better reflect the latest ESG trends and expectations.

## Row 5

### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Full

#### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

*Select all that apply*

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

#### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

*Select from:*

☒ Annually

#### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

*Select all that apply*

☒ Short-term

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

#### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

*Select from:*

☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

#### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

*Select all that apply*

☒ Site-specific

#### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

☒ COSO Enterprise Risk Management Framework

Other

☒ Scenario analysis

#### (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☒ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

☒ Drought

☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

☒ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

#### Chronic physical

- ☒ Heat stress
- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☒ Sea level rise
- ☒ Water stress

#### Policy

- ☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms
- ☒ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- ☒ Changes to national legislation

#### Market

- ☒ Changing customer behavior

#### Reputation

- ☒ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

#### Technology

- ☒ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

#### Liability

- ☒ Non-compliance with regulations

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NGOs      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees |   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors |   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers |   |

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*We conduct an annual climate risk assessment aligned with the TCFD Framework to assess our climate related risks and opportunities for our direct operations and customers. We evaluated the physical climate and water risks at the primary location of our critical suppliers that are identified through our supplier risk framework that is part of the company's ERM program.*

*[Add row]*

## **(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?**

### **(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed**

Select from:

☒ Yes

### **(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed**

*As part of our regular materiality assessment, we conduct assessment of our outward and inward impacts and dependencies of key ESG topics. Annually, we also assess our risks and opportunities following the TCFD index. Our procurement department also performs annual risk assessments for our supply chain. We evaluate the outcomes of both assessments annually to determine the interconnections and serve as input to sharing our five-year targets for our sustainability program, or adjusting our strategy as needed based on changes we see in the dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities.*

[Fixed row]

## **(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?**

### **(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are currently in the process of identifying priority locations

### **(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified**

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

### **(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified**

Sensitive locations

☒ Areas important for biodiversity

☒ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

### **(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations**

*IBAT Assessment, WRI Aqueduct and WWF Water Filter Risk assessments are performed for all our direct operation sites and for primary site of our critical suppliers to identify priority locations.*

### **(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?**

Select from:

☒ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]



## (2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

### Risks

#### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☒ Qualitative

☒ Quantitative

#### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☒ Revenue

#### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☒ Absolute decrease

#### (2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

100000000

#### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

#### (2.4.7) Application of definition

When identifying or assessing environmental risks for LVS, substantive financial or strategic impact is defined as \$100 million or more negative revenue impact based on the company financial materiality threshold.

### Opportunities

#### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☒ Qualitative

☒ Quantitative

#### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☒ Revenue

#### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☒ Absolute increase

#### (2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

100000000

#### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

#### (2.4.7) Application of definition

*When identifying or assessing environmental risks for LVS, substantive financial or strategic impact is defined as \$100 million or more positive revenue impact based on the company financial materiality threshold.*

[Add row]

### (2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

#### (2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☒ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

#### (2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

*At each of our integrated resorts, the Facilities Management Team monitors and develops action plans to maintain proper water quality at the respective property guided by a property-specific plan. The Plan is location-specific and based on the most stringent requirements from either standards issued by the respective local municipalities, WHO or OSHA guidelines. The Plan is reviewed periodically to ensure alignment with updates to standards and/or operational changes. The Plan includes an Evaluation of Potential Hazards, which comprises an assessment of the domestic water system which identifies the potential hazards in each part of the water supply chain, the level of risk presented by each hazard and or event, and the appropriate measures to control and prioritize the identified risks. Testing procedures and control measures are developed in place for key substances, including hazards and pollutants. Control measures are monitored at defined locations and frequencies based on the specific substances. The specific parameters are defined based on ASHRAE, and/or region-specific engineering and health standards. Internal and external audits, and third-party laboratory testing are conducted regularly to check compliance and verify effectiveness of the Plan. Any out of tolerance results are reviewed for required action.*

[Fixed row]

### (2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

#### (2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Oil

### (2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

*Oil and grease can have significant environmental impacts when they enter water sources. These contaminants can cause harm to aquatic life, degrade water quality, and negatively impact human health. A sample from an ejector is collected and sent to an accredited laboratory for testing.*

### (2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

### (2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances

☒ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements

### (2.5.1.5) Please explain

*At all our properties, we collect and recycle cooking oil from our restaurants to mitigate the amounts of oil and grease that may enter the water system. The collected cooking oil is taken by local recyclers to be used as an input for biofuel. We monitor the amount of oil and grease in our discharged water regularly. Success of collection and recycling of cooking oil is maintaining the oil and grease amount in discharged water below the established limit in accordance to local water agency trade effluent standards. For example, Marina Bay Sands conducts monthly monitoring of oil/grease level in our discharge for all our ejector system. A sample from an ejector is collected and sent to an accredited laboratory for testing. Testing Limit for sample is at 100mg/L of FOG. Should any ejector tanks oil/grease content exceed 100mg/L, a full vacuum of the affected grease interceptor will be conducted to mitigate the high oil/grease content.*

[Add row]

### C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

**(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

#### Climate change

##### **(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified**

Select from:

☒ No

##### **(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain**

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

##### **(3.1.3) Please explain**

*We assess climate-related risk as part of the ERM program by identifying risk likelihood and potential impact, and considering timeframe, mitigation methods, and associated costs. When identifying or assessing environmental risks for LVS, substantive financial or strategic impact is defined as a scale of impact of more than \$100 million. Through our risk assessment, we have not identified any risks that meet this threshold.*

#### Forests

##### **(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified**

Select from:

☒ No

##### **(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain**

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

##### **(3.1.3) Please explain**

*We assess biodiversity and deforestation-related risk as part of the ERM program by identifying risk likelihood and potential impact, and considering timeframe, mitigation methods, and associated costs. When identifying or assessing environmental risks for LVS, substantive financial or strategic impact is defined as a scale of impact of more than \$100 million. Through our risk assessment, we have not identified any risks that meet this threshold.*

## Water

### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

### (3.1.3) Please explain

*We assess water-related risk as part of the ERM program by identifying risk likelihood and potential impact, and considering timeframe, mitigation methods, and associated costs. When identifying or assessing environmental risks for LVS, substantive financial or strategic impact is defined as a scale of impact of more than \$100 million. Through our risk assessment, we have not identified any risks that meet this threshold..*

## Plastics

### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

### (3.1.3) Please explain

*We have generally mapped product categories that contain plastic in our overall purchased goods portfolio and do not consider our business to be exposed to substantive plastics-related risks. Products that are made of plastics comprise a very small portion of our purchased goods in volume and in cost, and there are alternatives made of reusable, renewable or other sustainable materials for relevant products to our operations (e.g., bags, tableware) that we continue to transition to when feasible.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?**

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No water-related regulatory fines or violations occurred in the reporting year.

[Fixed row]

### (3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

### (3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

## Climate change

#### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ No

#### (3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☒ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

#### (3.6.3) Please explain

*We assess climate-related opportunities as part of our sustainability SandsECO360 program by identifying risk likelihood and potential impact, considering timeframe, management method, and revenue opportunity. When identifying or assessing environmental opportunities for LVS, substantive financial or strategic impact is defined as a scale of impact of more than \$100 million. Through our opportunity assessment, we have not identified any opportunities that meet this threshold.*

## Forests

#### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ No

### (3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

- ☒ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

### (3.6.3) Please explain

*We assess forest-related opportunities as part of our sustainability SandsECO360 program by identifying risk likelihood and potential impact, considering timeframe, management method and revenue opportunity. When identifying or assessing environmental opportunities for LVS, substantive financial or strategic impact is defined as a scale of impact of more than \$100 million. Through our opportunity assessment, we have not identified any opportunities that meet this threshold.*

## Water

### (3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

- ☒ No

### (3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

- ☒ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

### (3.6.3) Please explain

*We assess water-related opportunities as part of our sustainability SandsECO360 program by identifying risk likelihood and potential impact, considering timeframe, management method and revenue opportunity. When identifying or assessing environmental opportunities for LVS, substantive financial or strategic impact is defined as a scale of impact of more than \$100 million. Through our opportunity assessment, we have not identified any opportunities that meet this threshold.*

[Fixed row]

## C4. Governance

### (4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

#### (4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ Quarterly

#### (4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

☒ Non-executive directors or equivalent

☒ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

#### (4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ Yes, and it is publicly available

#### (4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

*Board Membership Criteria: The Board seeks members from diverse professional and personal backgrounds who combine a broad spectrum of experience and expertise with a reputation for integrity. This assessment will include an individual's independence, as well as consideration of diversity, skills and experience in the context of the needs of the Board. For purposes of Board composition, diversity includes, but is not limited to, business experience, geography, age, gender, race, ethnicity, nationality and country of origin. The Nominating and Governance Committee, as one of its responsibilities, recommends director candidates to the full Board. Nominees for directorship will be identified by the Nominating and Governance Committee in accordance with the criteria set forth above and any other criteria that may be identified by the Nominating and Governance Committee or the Board and in accordance with the procedures set forth in its charter. As part of the Board's strong commitment to creating and maintaining diversity on the Board, the Nominating and Governance Committee will take reasonable steps to include diverse candidates in the pool of nominees when conducting searches for new directors, and any search firm engaged by the Nominating and Governance Committee will affirmatively be instructed to seek to include diverse candidates.*

#### (4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

4.1 CorpGovGuidelines\_202307.pdf  
[Fixed row]



(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply  
☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions’ accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:  
☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions’ accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply  
☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :See Nominating and Governance Committee Charter for the committee's accountability for this environmental issue.

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### **(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets development of a climate transition plan
- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- ☒ Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the

#### **(4.1.2.7) Please explain**

*Corporate governance of ESG matters begins at the highest levels of our company, with overall responsibility under the purview of our board of directors. All four committees of the Board of Directors have responsibility related to climate-related issues for the organization: 1. The nominating and governance committee oversees ESG risk by reviewing and assessing the company's ESG goals, policies and programs, including climate-related issues. 2. The audit committee, among other responsibilities, oversees enterprise risk management, which covers ESG and climate-related risks. It reviews the company's major financial risk exposure and discusses with management the steps taken to monitor, control and manage these exposures, including the company's risk assessment and risk management guidelines and policies. 3. The compensation committee oversees the company's compensation policies to determine whether they create risks that would reasonably or likely have a material adverse effect on the company. A portion of performance-related compensation for the senior leadership team is linked to strategic ESG goals, including climate-related goals, for the company. 4. The compliance committee assists the board in overseeing the company's compliance program, including compliance with the laws and regulations applicable to our business, the company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and other policies which have ESG and climate-related components.*

### **Forests**

#### **(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board-level committee

#### **(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board**

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

#### **(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :See Nominating and Governance Committee Charter for the committee's accountability for this environmental issue.

#### **(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item**

Select from:

- ☒ Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

#### **(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

#### **(4.1.2.7) Please explain**

*Corporate governance of ESG matters begins at the highest level of our company, with overall responsibility under the purview of our board of directors. All four committees of the Board of Directors have responsibility related to environmental issues for the organization: 1. The nominating and governance committee oversees ESG risk by reviewing and assessing the company's ESG goals, policies and programs. 2. The audit committee, among other responsibilities, oversees enterprise risk management, which covers ESG and climate-related risks. It reviews the company's major financial risk exposure and discusses with management the steps taken to monitor, control and manage these exposures, including the company's risk assessment and risk management guidelines and policies. 3. The compensation committee oversees the company's compensation policies to determine whether they create risks that would reasonably or likely have a material adverse effect on the company. A portion of performance-related compensation for the senior leadership team is linked to strategic ESG goals, including climate-related goals, for the company. 4. The compliance committee assists the board in overseeing the company's compliance program, including compliance with the laws and regulations applicable to our business, the company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and other policies which have ESG components.*

### **Water**

#### **(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board-level committee

#### **(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board**

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

#### **(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :See Nominating and Governance Committee Charter for the committee's accountability for this environmental issue.

#### **(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item**

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### **(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- ☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

#### **(4.1.2.7) Please explain**

*The nominating and governance committee reviews and assesses the company's ESG matters, including water-related, goals, policies, programs and reporting, and briefs the Board of Directors ("the board") on topics as deemed necessary. The board discusses from time-to-time climate and water-related issues including overseeing major capital expenditures and reviewing and guiding business plans or major plans or action. For example, the board reviews any new development projects, which are a primary source of capital expenditure and part of the company's major business strategy. The board also reviews Sands' performance on rater and ranker disclosures such as CDP Water and DJSI, which include water related matters and progress against targets, as part of providing employee incentives. Further, the board reviews and guides annual budgets, which include sustainability related expenditures. The company's president and chief operating officer is also a board member and oversees all sustainability efforts, including monitoring implementation and performance, overseeing strategic direction and major project execution, and reviewing progress against climate goals and targets for addressing climate-related issues. The president and chief operating officer also review some employee incentives such as bonuses related to company executives' ability to meet environmental, social, and governance performance targets.*

### **Biodiversity**

#### **(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Board-level committee

#### **(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Yes

#### **(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :See Nominating and Governance Committee Charter for the committee's accountability for this environmental issue.

#### **(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

Corporate governance of ESG matters begins at the highest level of our company, with overall responsibility under the purview of our board of directors. All four committees of the Board of Directors have responsibility related to environmental issues for the organization: 1. The nominating and governance committee oversees ESG risk by reviewing and assessing the company's ESG goals, policies and programs. 2. The audit committee, among other responsibilities, oversees enterprise risk management, which covers ESG and climate-related risks. It reviews the company's major financial risk exposure and discusses with management the steps taken to monitor, control and manage these exposures, including the company's risk assessment and risk management guidelines and policies. 3. The compensation committee oversees the company's compensation policies to determine whether they create risks that would reasonably or likely have a material adverse effect on the company. A portion of performance-related compensation for the senior leadership team is linked to strategic ESG goals, including climate-related goals, for the company. 4. The compliance committee assists the board in overseeing the company's compliance program, including compliance with the laws and regulations applicable to our business, the company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and other policies which have ESG components.

[Fixed row]

### (4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

#### Climate change

#### (4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

#### (4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- ☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- ☒ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- ☒ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- ☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

#### (4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Academic

- ☒ Undergraduate education (e.g., BSc/BA in environment and sustainability, climate science, environmental science, water resources management, environmental engineering, forestry, etc.), please specify :Bachelors in Mechanical Engineering

## Forests

### (4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- ☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- ☒ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- ☒ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)

## Water

### (4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- ☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- ☒ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- ☒ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- ☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

### (4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Academic

- ☒ Undergraduate education (e.g., BSc/BA in environment and sustainability, climate science, environmental science, water resources management, environmental engineering, forestry, etc.), please specify :Bachelors in Mechanical Engineering

[Fixed row]

**(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?**

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

## Climate change

### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

Strategy and financial planning

☒ Developing a climate transition plan

☒ Implementing a climate transition plan

Other

☒ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Reports to the board directly

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Half-yearly

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*The Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO) oversees the Global Sustainability Department and reports directly to the President and Chief Operating Officer, who is also a board member. The CSO attends board meetings and presents environmental issues directly to the board. The CSO has responsibility for the LVS Enterprise Risk Management process related to environmental issues, including climate change, reviewing and guiding sustainability strategy, developing action plans, climate change related risk management policies, annual budget, approving environmental targets, and managing the execution of the Sands ECO360 sustainability program at all properties globally. Specifically, the Sands ECO360 global sustainability strategy, which has been shaped by our most relevant environmental risks and opportunities, focuses on three foundational pillars which represent our operational areas including: (1) Building Design and Development; (2) Resort Management and Operations; and (3) Meetings, Events and Entertainment, and four key topics including: (1) Low-Carbon Transition; (2) Water Stewardship (3) Materials and Resources and (4) Waste. Climate-related responsibilities lie with the CSO as she holds responsibilities for implementation of environmental, social, and governance initiatives within the company. The CSO's monitoring process for climate-related issues includes assessing the Global Sustainability Department's and property sustainability team's progress toward quantitative and qualitative environmental targets including emissions reduction, water conservation, and waste diversion on a monthly basis. The CSO holds the most effective role to advance forward the Sands ECO360 program for LVS.*

### Forests

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Reports to the board directly

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Annually

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*The Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO) oversees the Global Sustainability Department and reports directly to the President and Chief Operating Officer, who is also a board member. The CSO attends board meetings and*



presents environmental issues directly to the board. Biodiversity is an emerging issue of concern identified by the global sustainability department and relevant issues are overseen by the CSO within the context of the ESG program and strategy. Biodiversity, along with the other areas of our ESG program, is discussed with the Board at least annually as part of annual performance and goal setting. Currently, biodiversity, which includes deforestation issues, is addressed in context of sustainable sourcing, specifically seafood sourcing, as well as regional ecosystem restoration and community education projects. We have set internal goals to increase the purchase of sustainable seafood products, which are aligned with WWF guidance, and have a bluefin tuna policy, under which we reduce the purchase of non MSC or ASC certified products. We also have goals to purchase FSC certified wood and paper products. We support local partners implementing mangrove restoration projects and community climate and ocean education programs.

## Water

### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

Other

☒ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Reports to the board directly

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Annually

### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO) reports directly to the President and Chief Operating Officer, who also sits on the board. The CSO attends board meetings and presents environmental issues directly to the board. The CSO oversees the Global Sustainability Department and is responsible for leading the Enterprise Risk Management process related to environmental issues including water, reviewing and guiding sustainability strategy, environmental risk (including water risks) management policies, approving targets, and managing the execution of the Sands ECO360 program globally. Water-related topics reported to the board include performance against water goals and targets and performance on water-related investor disclosures such as CDP water. Should water-risks reach the level of substantive or material financial or strategic impact, those water risks would also be reported to the board.

## Biodiversity

### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Reports to the board directly

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Annually

### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*The Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO) reports directly to the President and Chief Operating Officer, who also sits on the board. The CSO attends board meetings and presents environmental issues directly to the board. Biodiversity is an emerging issue of concern identified by the global sustainability department and relevant issues are overseen by the CSO within the context of the ESG program and strategy. Biodiversity, along with the other areas of our ESG program, is discussed with the Board at least annually as part of annual performance and goal setting. Currently biodiversity is addressed in context of sustainable sourcing, specifically seafood sourcing, as well as regional ecosystem restoration and community education projects. We have set internal goals to increase the purchase of sustainable seafood products, which are aligned with WWF guidance, and have a bluefin tuna policy, under which we reduce the purchase of non MSC or ASC certified products. We also have goals to purchase FSC certified wood and paper products. We support local partners implementing mangrove restoration projects and community climate and ocean education programs.*

[Add row]

## (4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

### Climate change

### (4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

**(4.5.3) Please explain**

*For 2024, the Compensation Committee included for the executive compensation of the NEOs an ESG adjustment factor whereby at least 3 out of 4 ESG metrics set by the Compensation Committee, with the Nominating and Go Committee assessing and certifying achievement, must be achieved for the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives to be paid at the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. If less than 3 of the 4 ESG metrics are met, the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives would be adjusted to 90% of the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. One of the ESG metrics is "Demonstration of progress in decreasing carbon emissions in line with five-year [science-based] target in 2021—2025 period."*

**Forests****(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue****(4.5.3) Please explain**

*For 2024, the Compensation Committee included for the executive compensation of the NEOs an ESG adjustment factor whereby at least 3 out of 4 ESG metrics set by the Compensation Committee, with the Nominating and Go Committee assessing and certifying achievement, must be achieved for the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives to be paid at the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. If less than 3 of the 4 ESG metrics are met, the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives would be adjusted to 90% of the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. One of the ESG metrics is "Recognition of LVS or its subsidiaries on at least six global, regional or national ESG related indices or listings." Achievement of this metric requires annual assessment by the indices/listings, e.g., CDP, DJSI indices, FTSE4Good, on the company's performance on environmental issues.*

**Water****(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue****(4.5.3) Please explain**

*For 2024, the Compensation Committee included for the executive compensation of the NEOs an ESG adjustment factor whereby at least 3 out of 4 ESG metrics set by the Compensation Committee, with the Nominating and Go Committee assessing and certifying achievement, must be achieved for the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives to be paid at the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. If less than 3 of the 4 ESG metrics are met, the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives would be adjusted to 90% of the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. One of the ESG metrics is "Recognition of LVS or its subsidiaries on at least six global, regional or national ESG related indices or listings." Achievement of this metric requires annual assessment by the indices/listings, e.g., CDP, DJSI indices, FTSE4Good, on the company's performance on environmental issues.*

[Fixed row]

## **(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

### **Climate change**

#### **(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive**

Board or executive level

☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

#### **(4.5.1.2) Incentives**

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

☒ Shares

#### **(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics**

Targets

☒ Progress towards environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☒ Board approval of climate transition plan

Engagement

☒ Increased engagement with suppliers on environmental issues

#### **(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to**

Select from:

☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

#### **(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives**

*For 2024, the Compensation Committee included for the executive compensation of the Named Executive Officers an ESG adjustment factor whereby at least three out of four ESG metrics set by the Compensation Committee, with the Nominating and Government Committee assessing and certifying achievement, need to be achieved for*

the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives to be paid at the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. If less than three of the four ESG metrics are met, the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives would be adjusted to 90% of the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. One of the ESG metrics is "Demonstration of progress in decreasing carbon emissions in line with five-year target in 2021-2025 period," which was achieved with two other ESG metrics in 2024.

#### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

One of the ESG Metrics that is part of the executive compensation ESG adjustment factor is "Recognition of LVS or its subsidiaries on at least six global, regional or national ESG related indices or listings." These indices, listings and awards include DJSI World, DJSI North America, DJSI Asia Pacific, FTSE4Good and ISS Prime, among others. To reach eligibility level for most of the above indices, the company needs to set an emissions target aligned with a 1.5C pathway and demonstrate emissions reductions in actual performance. This incentive continues to keep climate a top priority for management, including leading to the development and publication of LVS' Low Carbon Transition Plan, which provides more details on our longer-term low-carbon commitment and strategy.

### Forests

#### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

#### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

☒ Shares

#### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

#### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

#### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

For 2024, the Compensation Committee included for the executive compensation of the Named Executive Officers an ESG adjustment factor whereby at least three out of four ESG metrics set by the Compensation Committee, with the Nominating and Government Committee assessing and certifying achievement, need to be achieved for the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives to be paid at the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. If less than three of the four ESG metrics are met, the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives would be adjusted to 90% of the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. One of the ESG metrics is "Recognition of

*LVS or its subsidiaries on at least six global, regional or national ESG related indices or listings,” which was achieved with the two other ESG metrics in 2024. Achievement of this metric requires annual assessment by the indices and listing, e.g. CDP, DJSI indices, FTSE4Good, on the performance of our biodiversity program.*

#### **(4.5.1.6) How the position’s incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan**

*One of the ESG Metrics that is part of the executive compensation ESG adjustment factor is “Recognition of LVS or its subsidiaries on at least six global, regional or national ESG related indices or listings.” These indices, listings and awards include DJSI World, DJSI North America, DJSI Asia Pacific, FTSE4Good and ISS Prime, among others. To reach eligibility level for most of the above indices, the company needs to demonstrate continued performance on biodiversity-related programs, which are published publicly in the annual ESG Report, which is approved by the Board.*

## **Water**

#### **(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive**

Board or executive level

☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

#### **(4.5.1.2) Incentives**

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

☒ Shares

#### **(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics**

Targets

☒ Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

#### **(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to**

Select from:

☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

#### **(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives**

*For 2024, the Compensation Committee included for the executive compensation of the Named Executive Officers an ESG adjustment factor whereby at least three out of four ESG metrics set by the Compensation Committee, with the Nominating and Government Committee assessing and certifying achievement, need to be achieved for the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives to be paid at the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. If less than three of the four ESG metrics are met, the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives would be adjusted to 90% of the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. One of the ESG metrics is “Recognition of LVS or its subsidiaries on at least six global, regional or national ESG related indices or listings,” which was achieved with two other ESG metrics in 2024. Achievement of this metric requires annual assessment by the indices and listing, e.g. CDP, DJSI indices, FTSE4Good, on the performance of our water target and program.*

#### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*One of the ESG Metrics that is part of the executive compensation ESG adjustment factor is "Recognition of LVS or its subsidiaries on at least six global, regional or national ESG related indices or listings." These indices, listings and awards include DJSI World, DJSI North America, DJSI Asia Pacific, FTSE4Good and ISS Prime, among others. To reach eligibility level for most of the above indices, the company needs to set water reduction targets which are approved by the Board, and demonstrate continued performance on such targets, which are published publicly in the annual ESG Report.*

### Climate change

#### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

#### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

☒ Shares

#### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Progress towards environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☒ Board approval of climate transition plan

Engagement

☒ Increased engagement with suppliers on environmental issues

#### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☒ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

#### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*For 2024, the Compensation Committee included for the executive compensation of the Named Executive Officers an ESG adjustment factor whereby at least three out of four ESG metrics set by the Compensation Committee, with the Nominating and Government Committee assessing and certifying achievement, need to be achieved for the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives to be paid at the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. If less than three of the four ESG metrics are met, the annual short-term cash and long-term equity incentives would be adjusted to 90% of the level earned pursuant to the Company's performance against the 2024 performance criteria. One of the ESG metrics is "Demonstration of progress in decreasing carbon emissions in line with five-year target in 2021-2025 period," which was achieved with two other ESG metrics in 2024.*



#### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

One of the ESG Metrics that is part of the executive compensation ESG adjustment factor is "Demonstration of progress in decreasing carbon emissions in line with five-year target in 2021—2025 period." This incentive continues to keep climate a top priority for management, including leading to the development and publication of LVS' Low Carbon Transition Plan, which provides more details on our longer-term low-carbon commitment and strategy.

[Add row]

#### (4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

#### (4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

##### Row 1

#### (4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Water
- ☒ Biodiversity

#### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

#### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage



*Our Environmental Responsibility Policy in conjunction with our Supplier Code of Conduct and Global Sustainable Procurement Policy addresses Sands' commitment to environmental responsibility by promoting sustainable development and by seeking continual improvements designed to reduce the impact on the natural environment from our direct operations and the activities of our suppliers and customers in relation to our operations (collectively, the "value chain"), while enhancing the comfort and well-being of our guests and Team Members.*

#### **(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content**

##### Environmental commitments

- ☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- ☒ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- ☒ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

##### Climate-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to not funding climate-denial or lobbying against climate regulations

##### Water-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to control/reduce/eliminate water pollution
- ☒ Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes
- ☒ Commitment to safely managed WASH in local communities
- ☒ Commitment to the conservation of freshwater ecosystems

##### Additional references/Descriptions

- ☒ Acknowledgement of the human right to water and sanitation
- ☒ Reference to timebound environmental milestones and targets

#### **(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Yes, in line with Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

#### **(4.6.1.7) Public availability**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Publicly available

#### **(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy**

4.6.1EnvPolicy\_202307.pdf

[Add row]

#### **(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?**

##### **(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☒ Task Force on Nature-related Financial Disclosures (TNFD)

#### (4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

We are a member of the TNFD Forum, a network of organizations that support the mission and contribute to the ongoing development of the TNFD guidance.

[Fixed row]

#### (4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

##### (4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers

##### (4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

##### (4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

☒ Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

##### (4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

4.11 200220\_ESG-Supplemental\_LVS\_AW3\_V2.pdf

##### (4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☒ Mandatory government register

#### (4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

*We are registered on relevant US state lobbies where we have lobbying activities.*

#### (4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

*The sustainability department is consulted on environmental positions the company takes in relation to energy and climate change policy. The sustainability department works with appropriate departments such as investor relations, government relations and communications to craft position statements and disclose environmental information that is consistent and relevant to the company's overall Sands ECO360 strategy. Concurrently, we also strive to enhance the resort experience of our guests as well as the quality of life in the communities in which we live and operate. To ensure engagement is consistent, our Chief Sustainability Officer has the oversight over all Sands ECO360 activities to ensure these activities would be consistent with the overall climate change strategy.*

[Fixed row]

#### (4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

##### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*We participate in the Macau Environmental Protection Bureau's Working Group on the Implementation of Environmental Protection Measures by Casino Concessionaires. We participate in dialogue through this working group with the Macau DSPA on requests and challenges related to environmental regulations. One area we have engaged continually on is to increase the availability of renewable energy for the Macao region.*

##### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

##### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

☒ Green electricity tariffs/renewable energy PPAs

##### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☒ Regional

#### **(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to**

Select all that apply

☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region

#### **(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation**

Select from:

☒ Support with no exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

Select all that apply

☒ Participation in working groups organized by policy makers

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*We pursue both on-site and off-site renewable solutions to increase the percentage of renewable energy in our total energy mix as part of our low carbon transition strategy. Currently, we cannot execute power purchase agreements due to regional regulations and are limited to on-site renewable developments or purchase of renewable energy certificates. We believe expanding ways to increase renewable energy development is very important to reach global climate goals.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

### **Row 2**

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*We participate in the Macau Environmental Protection Bureau's Working Group on the Implementation of Environmental Protection Measures by Casino Concessionaires. We participate in dialogue through this working group with the Macau DSPA on requests and challenges related to environmental regulations. One area we have engaged continually on is to advance municipal greywater infrastructure in the Macao region to decrease our potable water usage.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

☒ Water

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Low-impact production and innovation

☒ Water use and efficiency

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

☒ Regional

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

☒ Support with no exceptions

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

*Select all that apply*

☒ Participation in working groups organized by policy makers

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

We have expressed to the DSPA with Macao the desire to use greywater for certain operational needs to decrease our potable water usage. Some of our properties are already designed with dual piping to allow greywater usage, but there is currently no municipal greywater transport infrastructure or supply.

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

### Row 3

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

We participate in the Macau Environmental Protection Bureau's Working Group on the Implementation of Environmental Protection Measures by Casino Concessionaires. We participate in dialogue through this working group with the Macau DSPA on requests and challenges related to environmental regulations. One of the items that the group has conducted survey and further discussions on is the impact of light pollution on local migratory bird flight paths.

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Forests

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental protection and management procedures

☒ Environmental protection requirements

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☒ Regional

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☒ Support with no exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

Select all that apply

☒ Participation in working groups organized by policy makers

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*Biodiversity is one of the key topics in the Planet pillar of our ESG platform strategy. Policies to reduce light pollution align with our strategy to ensure nature conservation. We already adjust our exterior marquee light hours to minimize impact on birds' flight paths.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

☒ Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

[Add row]

#### **(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### **(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.**

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- ☒ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

- ☒ GRI
- ☒ IFRS
- ☒ TCFD

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Water
- ☒ Biodiversity

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- ☒ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategy              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Value chain engagement   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies & Impacts   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emission targets      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity indicators  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions figures     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public policy engagement |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks & Opportunities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water accounting figures |

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

pp. 10-19, 56-73, 88-107

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2024-LVS-ESG-Report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

The annual LVS ESG Report is published at the same time as our annual financial report.  
[Add row]



## C5. Business strategy

### (5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

#### Climate change

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

##### (5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Annually

#### Forests

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

##### (5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

##### (5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

*The lack of internal resources includes continuing to build up internal expertise on this topic as well as the lack of data availability to perform robust analyses.*

#### Water

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

##### (5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Annually

[Fixed row]

## **(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.**

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.1.1.1) Scenario used**

Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 2.6

#### **(5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario**

*Select from:*

☒ No SSP used

#### **(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario**

*Select from:*

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### **(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage**

*Select from:*

☒ Organization-wide

#### **(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

#### **(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario**

*Select from:*

☒ 1.5°C or lower

#### **(5.1.1.7) Reference year**

2024

#### **(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered**

*Select all that apply*

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

#### **(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario**

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Level of action (from local to global)

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*A proprietary WTW Climate Diagnostic tool and the following publicly available climate tools were used to assess risk: WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, WWF Water Risk Filter. The assessment also includes analysis and data from the IPCC and NOAA.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*This scenario is chosen as an optimistic pathway with alignment to net zero pathway.*

### Water

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

☒ Bespoke water scenario

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Level of action (from local to global)

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*Optimistic, business as usual and pessimistic climate scenarios were assessed on the impact to water stress in all our operational areas.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*We assessed scenarios reflecting different climate pathways to understand the range of potential water stress risks. Water is an important part of our operations and it is important to understand the availability and potential financial impacts for our business strategy and financial planning.*

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☒ IEA NZE 2050

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

☒ Policy

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C or lower

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2025

☒ 2030

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Global regulation

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*Carbon tax evaluated with NZE emerging markets forecast and NZE advanced economies forecast. Incorporated potential upcoming new developments in model.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*IEA NZE scenario selected for alignment to net zero emissions pathway and to key SDGs. It is also selected for its emerging markets vs. advanced economies scenarios.*

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 8.5

### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ No SSP used

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- ☒ Acute physical
- ☒ Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- ☒ 4.0°C and above

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2022

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☒ Level of action (from local to global)

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*A proprietary WTW Climate Diagnostic tool and the following publicly available climate tools were used to assess risk: WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, WWF Water Risk Filter. The assessment also includes analysis and data from the IPCC and NOAA.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*This scenario is chosen as a pessimistic scenario compared to business as usual to assess potential highest levels of risk on our operations.*

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

- ☒ RCP 4.5

#### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

- ☒ No SSP used

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- ☒ Acute physical  
☒ Chronic physical

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- ☒ 3.5°C - 3.9°C

### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2030  
☒ 2050

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☒ Level of action (from local to global)

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*A proprietary WTW Climate Diagnostic tool and the following publicly available climate tools were used to assess risk: WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas, WWF Water Risk Filter. The assessment also includes analysis and data from the IPCC and NOAA.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*This scenario is chosen to represent business as usual situation.*

## Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☒ Bespoke climate transition scenario

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ Unknown

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Stakeholder and customer demands

☒ Consumer sentiment

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Global regulation

Relevant technology and science

☒ Other relevant technology and science driving forces, please specify :Lack of renewable energy options or high cost of renewable energy within our operations' market boundaries



#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*Carbon tax analysis based on current Singapore carbon tax regulations. Research on market risk based on available academic and industry white papers.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*Our properties are subject to carbon tax passthrough and in turn it is important for us to understand the potential risks associated with carbon tax regulation. Equally, reputational risk is relevant to our company and we believe our low-carbon strategy reduces our reputational risk as it relates to climate change.*

*[Add row]*

### (5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

#### Climate change

##### (5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- ☒ Strategy and financial planning
- ☒ Resilience of business model and strategy
- ☒ Capacity building
- ☒ Target setting and transition planning

##### (5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

*Select from:*

- ☒ Organization-wide

##### (5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

*Our assessment in 2024 concluded the identified physical and transition risks are relevant, but not material to our company at this time. Based on the scenario analysis results, we are proactively implementing an annual risk monitoring program and updating our scenario analysis annually. The physical climate risks we identified include: increased severity of extreme weather events, which may reduce revenue from business disruption, increase costs for repairs, and increase insurance premiums; increase in precipitation, which may reduce revenue from business disruption, increase cost from repairs, increase utility costs due to increased dehumidification needs, and increase insurance premiums; increased heat stress and rising mean temperatures, which may increase utility costs due to cooling needs, and increased sea level rise and coastal and river flooding risk which may increase repair costs and insurance premiums. We also assessed transition risks including potential impacts from carbon tax schemes and climate regulations which may impact our utility cost and compliance costs.*

#### Water

##### (5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- ☒ Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Resilience of business model and strategy
- ☒ Capacity building
- ☒ Target setting and transition planning

### (5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

### (5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

*In 2024, we determined that none of our integrated resorts operate in water-stressed regions using the WRI Aqueduct tool and assessing it based on optimistic, business as usual and pessimistic scenarios. We have also used the WWF Water Risk Filter for water scarcity and a proprietary WTW Climate Diagnostic tool for drought indicator, and both also showed low risk levels for all time horizons. Although the outcome of the 2024 analysis indicates low water stress risk in our current areas of operation under all scenarios, we are still committed in our sustainability strategy and financial planning to dedicate resources on increasing water efficiency in our direct operations and maintain our targets on decreasing potable water use intensity given the interconnected nature of water beyond only the watershed where we operate. Through our Ecotracker program, we regularly evaluate new water efficiency technology or changes in our operations that can be implemented at our resorts. For example, at all our resorts, we are in process of implementing smart irrigation systems that have weather sensors that measure temperature and precipitation to manage landscape irrigation.*

[Fixed row]

## (5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

### (5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

### (5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

### (5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

- ☒ No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

### (5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Sands is committed to environmental responsibility by promoting sustainable development and seeking continual improvement to reduce the impact on the natural environment from our direct operations and upstream and downstream activities of our value chain, while enhancing the comfort and well-being of our guests and Team Members. Our public environmental commitments address a low-carbon transition by advancing energy efficiency and renewable solutions, and increasing purchasing of sustainable products and services whenever feasible. We source a wide range of products to operate our integrated resorts globally. Currently, alternatives solutions are not available at all our global locations for all services and products that would enable us to commit to cease all spending on activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion without full disruption to the continuity our business operations. We monitor and engage with local jurisdictions to find sustainable solutions when feasible.

#### **(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan**

Select from:

☒ We have a different feedback mechanism in place

#### **(5.2.8) Description of feedback mechanism**

Investor relations holds direct one-on-one stakeholder engagements with a set number of shareholders determined by number of held shares. ESG is one of the topics that is part of this annual engagement.

#### **(5.2.9) Frequency of feedback collection**

Select from:

☒ Annually

#### **(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies**

The transition plan is developed based on the scenario analysis we conducted as part of our TCFD Index. It relies on current carbon tax regulations, and renewable energy policy and availability in the markets where we operate. We will continue to monitor and evaluate these assumptions and dependencies annually.

#### **(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period**

We have continued to progress against the strategies outlined in our Climate Transition Plan. Last year, we continued to identify and execute energy-efficiency projects through the global Sands ECOTracker program. We completed 28 projects that reduced energy consumption by 21,696 MWh. In 2024, 25% of our energy came from renewable energy sources, including 370,000 MWh of EACs procured to complement on-site generation in our buildings. As part of our ongoing transition to alternative fuel vehicles, Sands China added 10 electric limousines and continued the Cotai Water Jet carbon offset program, which enables passengers to purchase carbon offsets with their ticket purchases. Please see pp. 57-65 of the LVS 2024 ESG Report for additional details.

#### **(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)**

2024ESGRpt\_ClimateTP.pdf

#### **(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers**

Select all that apply

☒ Plastics

- ☒ Water
- ☒ Other, please specify :Waste

**(5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan**

Led by the Sands ECO360 global sustainability program, we have developed a series of sustainability initiatives to address key environmental topics, many of which have climate-related impacts. We set internal and external qualitative and quantitative targets for waste, including a 25% reduction in food waste and a 5% increase in operational waste diversion. We decrease our waste streams by reducing consumption when possible and reusing and recycling more, which in turn lowers our upstream emissions. We set internal and external qualitative and quantitative targets to employ sustainable solutions for 100% of Sands-branded water bottles by 2025. We identify sustainable materials using life cycle assessments to quantify and compare environmental impacts, including emissions impacts, of different materials across all stages of a product's life cycle. We set internal and external qualitative and quantitative targets for water stewardship, including a 3% reduction in potable water use per square foot. Climate change is negatively impacting the demand, quantity, quality and accessibility of fresh water. Our strategy focuses on conserving water through efficiency, increasing water reuse and recycling, and protecting water ecosystems that benefit the local environment.

[Fixed row]

**(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?**

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

**(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?**

**(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)**

-74

**(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)**

375

**(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)**

#### (5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

2

#### (5.9.5) Please explain

CAPEX has decreased from previous year because we completed a large irrigation system upgrade project in 2023. CAPEX is anticipated to increase in 2025 again as we plan to commence a large hydrant improvement project. OPEX is expected to increase slightly annually from increases in purchased water tariff and amount purchased.

[Fixed row]

#### (5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Environmental externality priced
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon

[Fixed row]

#### (5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon.

##### Row 1

#### (5.10.1.1) Type of pricing scheme

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Avoided cost of RECs and carbon offsets

#### (5.10.1.2) Objectives for implementing internal price

Select all that apply

☒ Drive energy efficiency

☒ Drive low-carbon investment

☒ Identify and seize low-carbon opportunities

☒ Navigate regulations

#### (5.10.1.3) Factors considered when determining the price

Select all that apply

☒ Cost of required measures to achieve climate-related targets

☒ Scenario analysis

#### (5.10.1.4) Calculation methodology and assumptions made in determining the price

*The ROI for energy efficiency related projects is calculated factoring in the avoided cost of RECs and carbon offsets from the decrease in anticipated emissions. The REC and CO pricing used is based on best available information for RECS in the relevant regions and types of carbon offset projects. Different scenarios are assessed for the ROI calculation depending on whether to purchase RECS, and different amounts of RECS to reach different carbon emissions reduction levels.*

#### **(5.10.1.5) Scopes covered**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2

#### **(5.10.1.6) Pricing approach used – spatial variance**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Uniform

#### **(5.10.1.8) Pricing approach used – temporal variance**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Evolutionary

#### **(5.10.1.9) Indicate how you expect the price to change over time**

*REC and carbon offset pricing changes over time based on market conditions.*

#### **(5.10.1.10) Minimum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

0

#### **(5.10.1.11) Maximum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

80

#### **(5.10.1.12) Business decision-making processes the internal price is applied to**

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Capital expenditure
- ☒ Operations

#### **(5.10.1.13) Internal price is mandatory within business decision-making processes**

*Select from:*

- ☒ Yes, for some decision-making processes, please specify :ROI calculation for energy-related projects

#### **(5.10.1.14) % total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers**

100

#### **(5.10.1.15) Pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve objectives**

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (5.10.1.16) Details of how the pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve your objectives

*We monitor and evaluate the avoided cost of RECs and carbon offsets at least annually for all regions where we have direct operations. The inclusion of the avoided cost of RECs and carbon offsets from the decrease in anticipated emissions in ROI calculation has contributed to implementation of capital expenditure projects related to energy efficiency where otherwise the payback period would have not met our internal requirements without factoring in the avoided cost. The implementation of the internal price helps to achieve objectives to implement more energy efficient solutions in our properties and contribute to reaching our emissions reduction goal.*

[Add row]

### (5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

#### Suppliers

##### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

##### (5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Forests

☒ Water

☒ Plastics

#### Smallholders

##### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

##### (5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

##### (5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

*We directly source from a very limited number of smallholder suppliers. We are currently conducting further value chain mapping of deforestation-related commodities and developing our biodiversity and deforestation strategy, including our approach for smallholder engagement, for the upcoming five-year cycle of our ESG program.*

## Customers

### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Plastics

## Investors and shareholders

### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

### (5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

### (5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

*We disclose our environmental performance through our annual ESG Report, to investor indices and to other voluntary ESG questionnaires that are available for investors and shareholders. We also regularly respond to ESG inquiries that we receive from our investors.*

## Other value chain stakeholders

### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Water

[Fixed row]

### (5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?



## Climate change

### (5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

### (5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

### (5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

### (5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

*We use third party shuttle bus suppliers for employee commuting and guest shuttles. We regularly evaluate and engage with our bus suppliers on the vehicle fuel that is used to support our low carbon transition goals. We define the threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and impacts as any bus transportation supplier who does not have any non-conventional fuel (gasoline or diesel) vehicle in its fleet that services our company.*

### (5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

### (5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

1

## Forests

### (5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

## Water

#### **(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

#### **(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select all that apply

- ☒ Dependence on water  
☒ Impact on water availability

#### **(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed**

Select from:

- ☒ Less than 1%

#### **(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

*We evaluated the type of water used by all laundry services suppliers for all of our properties given the heavy impact and dependency on water by laundry services. We define the threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and impacts on water as any laundry supplier who is not using any reclaimed water or reusing water within its operations.*

#### **(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

- ☒ None

### **Plastics**

#### **(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment**

Select from:

- ☒ No, we do not assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, and have no plans to do so within two years

[Fixed row]

#### **(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?**

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

### **(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

☒ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change

☒ Strategic status of suppliers

### **(5.11.2.4) Please explain**

*As part of our Supplier Risk Evaluation Framework, we annually perform risk assessments for suppliers that conducted business with us in the prior 12 months. Suppliers are categorized into low, medium and critical risk levels. Critical suppliers are those determined to have the ability to significantly impact business operations. These suppliers may be non-substitutable, provide critical services, are sourced at a high volume or have specific ESG risk factors. All critical suppliers are further assessed for physical climate-related risks at their primary operating location.*

## **Forests**

### **(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

### **(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

☒ Material sourcing

☒ Strategic status of suppliers

### **(5.11.2.4) Please explain**

*For Forest, we prioritize engagement with suppliers that have commodities with the highest impact on deforestation. For example, this includes engaging with timber product suppliers (e.g., ranging from paper goods to furniture) for FSC-certified products and selecting suppliers with suppliers that use RSPO-certified palm oil when possible.*

## **Water**

### **(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

### **(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- ☒ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to water
- ☒ Strategic status of suppliers

#### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

*For water, we prioritize engagement with suppliers that are in commodities that have the highest impact and dependency to water, which includes our laundry, towel, and mechanical and plumbing suppliers. Additionally, as part of our Supplier Risk Evaluation Framework, we annually perform risk assessments for suppliers that conducted business with us in the prior 12 months. Suppliers are categorized into low, medium and critical risk levels. Critical suppliers are those determined to have the ability to significantly impact business operations. These suppliers may be non-substitutable, provide critical services, are sourced at a high volume or have specific ESG risk factors.*

## Plastics

#### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

#### (5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Material sourcing
- ☒ Strategic status of suppliers

#### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

*We prioritize addressing our highest-volume single-use disposables and packaging materials, including single-use water bottles, in-suite amenities, travel kits, slippers, to-go containers, cutlery and coffee cups, among others. We strive to eliminate problematic single-use plastic items that are more prone to escaping into the environment, polluting waterways and harming recycling systems, or employ reuse models where possible. We work to increase recycling of key categories such as cardboard, linen and plastic packaging, and reduce the amount of packaging used for in-suite guest amenities at our properties.*

[Fixed row]

#### (5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

## Climate change

#### (5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

### **(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

### **(5.11.5.3) Comment**

*For all items stated in the Supplier Code of Conduct, including compliance with environmental and climate-related regulations: 1. Suppliers shall allow auditors, including LVS approved third-party auditors, access to their facilities for evaluation of compliance with this Supplier Code of Conduct. They shall not falsify or refuse to produce documents. Employees must not be coached to provide misleading or inaccurate information to auditors when questioned and shall be made available for interview purposes. 2. Suppliers shall maintain and retain complete and accurate records including all necessary documentation (e.g. employment contracts, personnel files, payroll records, time records etc.). and 3. Suppliers shall use reasonable efforts to i) make their employees aware of this Supplier Code of Conduct and ii) upon a request from their respective employees, make this Supplier Code of Conduct available for their employees to review.*

## **Forests**

### **(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process**

Select from:

☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

### **(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

### **(5.11.5.3) Comment**

*For all items stated in the Supplier Code of Conduct, including compliance with environmental and climate-related regulations: 1. Suppliers shall allow auditors, including LVS approved third-party auditors, access to their facilities for evaluation of compliance with this Supplier Code of Conduct. They shall not falsify or refuse to produce documents. Employees must not be coached to provide misleading or inaccurate information to auditors when questioned and shall be made available for interview purposes. 2. Suppliers shall maintain and retain complete and accurate records including all necessary documentation (e.g. employment contracts, personnel files, payroll records, time records etc.). and 3. Suppliers shall use reasonable efforts to i) make their employees aware of this Supplier Code of Conduct and ii) upon a request from their respective employees, make this Supplier Code of Conduct available for their employees to review.*

## **Water**

### **(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process**

Select from:

☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

### **(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance**

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

### **(5.11.5.3) Comment**

*For all items stated in the Supplier Code of Conduct, including compliance with environmental and climate-related regulations: 1. Suppliers shall allow auditors, including LVS approved third-party auditors, access to their facilities for evaluation of compliance with this Supplier Code of Conduct. They shall not falsify or refuse to produce documents. Employees must not be coached to provide misleading or inaccurate information to auditors when questioned and shall be made available for interview purposes. 2. Suppliers shall maintain and retain complete and accurate records including all necessary documentation (e.g. employment contracts, personnel files, payroll records, time records etc.). and 3. Suppliers shall use reasonable efforts to i) make their employees aware of this Supplier Code of Conduct and ii) upon a request from their respective employees, make this Supplier Code of Conduct available for their employees to review.*

[Fixed row]

**(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.**

## **Climate change**

### **(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement**

Select from:

☒ Waste and resource reduction and material circularity

### **(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement**

Select all that apply

☒ First-party verification

☒ On-site third-party audit

### **(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement**

Select from:

☒ 100%

### **(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement**

Select from:

☒ 100%

### **(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement**

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (5.11.6.12) Comment

*As part of our Supplier Risk Evaluation Framework, we annually perform risk assessments for suppliers that conducted business with us in the prior 12 months. Suppliers are categorized into low, medium and critical risk levels. Critical suppliers are those determined to have the ability to significantly impact business operations. Any violations identified through audit of critical suppliers receive engagement.*

## Forests

#### (5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Waste and resource reduction and material circularity

#### (5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☒ First-party verification

☒ On-site third-party audit

#### (5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (5.11.6.12) Comment

*As part of our Supplier Risk Evaluation Framework, we annually perform risk assessments for suppliers that conducted business with us in the prior 12 months. Suppliers are categorized into low, medium and critical risk levels. Critical suppliers are those determined to have the ability to significantly impact business operations. Any violations identified through audit of critical suppliers receive engagement.*

## Water

#### (5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ Provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all employees

#### (5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- ☒ First-party verification
- ☒ On-site third-party audit

#### (5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

#### (5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

#### (5.11.6.12) Comment

*As part of our Supplier Risk Evaluation Framework, we annually perform risk assessments for suppliers that conducted business with us in the prior 12 months. Suppliers are categorized into low, medium and critical risk levels. Critical suppliers are those determined to have the ability to significantly impact business operations. Any violations identified through audit of critical suppliers receive engagement.*

[Add row]

### (5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

#### Climate change

#### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions reduction

#### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Financial incentives

- ☒ Feature environmental performance in supplier awards scheme

Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Engage with suppliers to advocate for policy or regulatory change to address environmental challenges



#### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

#### (5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

#### (5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

#### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*We regularly engage with our utility suppliers on ways to increase renewable energy for the regional grid. For example, we have provided letters indicating support for utilities' proposed initiatives to increase renewable energy sources to help with government approval.*

#### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Regional renewable energy requirements

#### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Yes

### Forests

#### (5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

☒ Timber products

#### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ No deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems

#### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

#### Capacity building

- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact
- ☒ Support suppliers to set their own environmental commitments across their operations

#### Financial incentives

- ☒ Feature environmental performance in supplier awards scheme

#### Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
- ☒ Engage with suppliers to advocate for policy or regulatory change to address environmental challenges

### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

### (5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 1-25%

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*Marina Bay Sands (MBS) partnered with WWF-Singapore to strengthen responsible sourcing practices and work toward eliminating deforestation and conversion from its supply chain, with the ultimate goal of protecting biodiversity. Through this collaboration, WWF-Singapore is supporting MBS in evaluating its exposure to deforestation and conversion risks by engaging with 22 suppliers covering 33 products from key deforestation-linked commodities, including timber.*

### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Minimizing resource use

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

## Water

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Total water withdrawal volumes reduction

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

☒ Support suppliers to set their own environmental commitments across their operations

Information collection

☒ Other information collection activity, please specify :Collect information on use of recycled water

### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

### (5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*We regularly engage with our laundry suppliers to discuss ways to decrease the water intensity of their operations such as through new more water efficient equipment or opportunities to increase usage of recycled water. For example, Singapore laundry suppliers were engaged to find ways to reuse all greywater from water laundry operations for other purposes. One supplier already uses 100% NEWater (utility provided recycled water) in its operations and is currently exploring feasibility with the public utility of a project that would allow up to 170 cubic meters of greywater per day to be recycled within their operations.*

### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Unknown

## Plastics

### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ Removal of plastic from the environment

### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☒ Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

#### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

#### (5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

#### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*We have a public target to use 100% sustainable plastic materials in our branded water bottles. We have achieved this target in Singapore, and are at 50% for Sands China Limited. We continue to regularly engage with suppliers for to identify additional product options to achieve our 2025 target.*

#### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Unknown

[Add row]

### (5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

## Climate change

#### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

#### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☒ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

#### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

#### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ None

#### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

*While there are no scope 3 emissions associated with this stakeholder, Sands is committed to environmental responsibility by promoting sustainable development and reducing the impact on the natural environment from our direct operations and through the activities of our suppliers and customers. We believe transformative action related to enabling systemic change for climate extends beyond the scope of our direct operations.*

#### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

*Starting in December 2023, our Cotai Water Jet in Macau launched a new initiative, "Sail Greener for a Better Planet Together", that offers all our ferry guests the opportunity to offset the carbon footprint resulting from their trips. All payments received from customers are used to purchase carbon offset credits from a verified carbon offsets project. Additionally, in 2024, the company underwrote carbon offsets representing the emissions from the ferry for one day each month. The offsets purchased supported sustainable management of a grassland in China and supported a wind farm in Thailand.*

### Water

#### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :NGO, Academic Institution

#### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☒ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

Other

☒ Other, please specify :Sponsor academic research in mangrove wetlands and water clean up

#### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

#### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

*We believe transformative action related to enabling systemic change for water conservation and water ecosystem restoration extends beyond the scope of our direct operations. Through our collaboration with The WASH Foundation in the Drop by Drop project, we provide funding to NGOs and academic institutions on projects that increase local water resiliency, reinvigorate ecosystems, incubate new water solutions, and engage the local community on water-related issues.*

#### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

*In 2024, Waterways Watch Society in Singapore used its Drop by Drop Project grant to support local schools with assembly presentations and learning sessions on outdoor trails, reaching more than 4000 students. More than 450 students also participated in seven waterway cleanups on foot. In Macao, the University of Saint Joseph*

(USJ) used its Drop by Drop Project grant to create a coastal wetlands mobile exhibition that traveled to a variety of events, including a week-long ecological conservation training.

[Add row]

## (5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

### Row 1

#### (5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

#### (5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Relationship sustainability assessment

☒ Align goals to feed into customers targets and ambitions

#### (5.12.5) Details of initiative

*The sustainability team at Marina Bay Sands can work with the supplier to meet any of the supplier's event sustainability goals for future events with us. Beyond our standard sustainable meeting practices, our Sustainable Event Advisory Services team can provide additional support to further reduce the event environmental impact, including the following:*

- No single use plastic bottles (rPET bottles provided amid hygiene concerns during the pandemic), straws or stirrers
- A selection of innovative plant-based protein alternatives, which produce up to 89% less greenhouse gases and use up to 87% less water than beef
- Selection of sustainable beverages including Fairtrade-certified coffee and locally brewed Crust beer made from surplus bread
- Majority of vegetables regionally sourced, reducing travel carbon emissions for food & beverage service at the event
- Unserved, safe food from events is frozen in industrial blast chillers and donated to beneficiaries of The Food Bank Singapore
- Five onsite food digesters process food trimmings and plate waste into grey water
- Circularity lectern made from upcycled PET bottles and paper
- Banquet table numbers made using wine corks from Marina Bay Sands' restaurants
- Use of FSC-certified paper for writing materials and menus

#### (5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

☒ Improved resource use and efficiency

#### (5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

☒ 0-1 year

#### (5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

☒ No

### (5.12.11) Please explain

*The CO2e / water savings would be dependent on the customer's selection from our options to reduce the environmental impact of its event.*

[Add row]

## (5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

### (5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

### (5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:

☒ Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

### (5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

*The CDP Supply Chain member requests we receive are typically from our convention and exhibition customers. The scope of emissions and environmental impact from one convention event or customer is a very small fraction of our total emissions and other environmental impacts. For reference, at Marina Bay Sands, we host over 1000 events per year in our convention and exhibition space. For our Sands ECO360 program, we focus and prioritize our strategy and resources on environmental initiatives that can have the largest impact, such as identifying opportunities that can improve overall energy efficiency of the convention space and for all customers.*

[Fixed row]

## C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial control	<i>The consolidation approach selected is consistent with financial accounting.</i>
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial control	<i>The consolidation approach selected is consistent with financial accounting.</i>
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial control	<i>The consolidation approach selected is consistent with financial accounting.</i>
Plastics	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial control	<i>The consolidation approach selected is consistent with financial accounting.</i>
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial control	<i>The consolidation approach selected is consistent with financial accounting.</i>

[Fixed row]



## C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

### (7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

#### (7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

#### (7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

### (7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

### (7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	<i>We disclose both locations based and market-based scope 2 emissions.</i>

[Fixed row]

**(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.**

## Scope 1

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2018

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

228255.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Emissions are calculated according to GHG Protocol. Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions, energy, water and waste data have been verified by a third-party independent auditor to a limited level.*

## Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2018

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

644119.0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Emissions are calculated according to GHG Protocol. Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions, energy, water and waste data have been verified by a third-party independent auditor to a limited level.*

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2018

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

631407.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions are calculated according to GHG Protocol. Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions, energy, water and waste data have been verified by a third-party independent auditor to a limited level.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

964741

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend-based methodology. Includes spend for all goods and services for the reporting year from internal procurement system. Exiobase China emissions factors used corresponding to the commodity/service for purchases by Singapore and China properties. USA EPA Input-Output Supply Chain GHG Emission Factors (EEIO) used for USA Corporate purchases. Exiobase EF is adjusted for inflation based on China Consumer Price Index; EEIO EF adjusted for inflation based on US CPI.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1285083

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend-based methodology. Includes spend for all goods and services categorized under "Development" commodity group in internal procurement system and for large capital good expenditures. Exiobase China emissions factors used corresponding to the commodity/service for purchases by Singapore and China properties. USA EPA Input-Output Supply Chain GHG Emission Factors (EEIO) used for USA Corporate purchases. Exiobase EF is adjusted for inflation based on China Consumer Price Index; EEIO EF adjusted for inflation based on US CPI.

**Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)**

**(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2024

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

122252

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Activity based methodology with following emission factors a. Upstream Emissions for purchased fuel using DEFRA upstream fuel emission factor, by country b. Upstream Emissions for purchased electricity using IEA Fuel-cycle factors c. T&D Losses using IEA lifecycle T&D loss factor*

**Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution**

**(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2024

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

2507

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Spend based methodology that includes spend categorized in our internal procurement system for Shipping and Freight. Exiobase China emissions factors used corresponding to the commodity/service for purchases by Singapore and China properties. USA EPA Input-Output Supply Chain GHG Emission Factors (EEIO) used for USA Corporate purchases. Exiobase EF is adjusted for inflation based on China Consumer Price Index; EEIO EF adjusted for inflation based on US CPI.*

**Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations**

**(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2024

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

26594

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Waste-type-specific method calculated with emissions factors from the US EPA GHG Emission Factors Hub (June 2024) by treatment type and waste material.*

**Scope 3 category 6: Business travel**

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10463

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Activity based methodology by distance used for commercial air flight travel. Emission factor from the US EPA GHG Emission Factors Hub (June 2024). Fuel based methodology used for private jet business travel emissions. Jet fuel emission factor from the US EPA GHG Emission Factors Hub (June 2024) for mobile combustion. Spend based methodology used based for all other modes of transit for employee business travel. Exiobase China emissions factors used corresponding to the commodity/service for purchases by Singapore and China properties. USA EPA Input-Output Supply Chain GHG Emission Factors (EEIO) used for USA Corporate purchases. Exiobase EF is adjusted for inflation based on China Consumer Price Index; EEIO EF adjusted for inflation based on US CPI.*

## Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9528

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Average-data method used for all modes of commuting, except for spend-based method used for SCL water taxi. SCL Employees taking various modes of transportation calculated with actual parking permit and company commuter bus and water taxi ridership data, along with estimation from Macau transportation statistics. Singapore and USA employee modes of transportation estimated with respective regional transportation statistics. Relevant US EPA Emission Factors Hub (June 2024) are used. Excludes all work from home employees.*

## Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2276

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*Category includes emissions from leased sites and vehicles under operating lease. Site/vehicle emissions are calculated from actual consumption data when available. When actual consumption is unavailable, average data method is used. Energy intensities by building type from the US Energy Information Administration (EIA) are used to estimate electricity and natural gas usage for leased properties. Supplier or region-specific electricity emission*

factor is used based on location of the property. Natural gas EFs from the EPA GHG Emission Factors Hub (June 2024) are used to calculate emissions from natural gas usage at all leased assets.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category is not relevant for our operations.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category is not relevant for our operations.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category is not relevant for our operations.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category is not relevant for our operations.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category is not relevant for our operations.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Category is not relevant for our operations.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Average-data methodology. Emission factor is from USA EPA EEIO for the investee's sector. EF adjusted for inflation based on US CPI. Debt investments without known proceeds are excluded.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a  
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Methodological details
Reporting year	155421	Emissions are calculated according to GHG Protocol. Scope 1 emissions are verified by a third-party independent auditor to a reasonable level.

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

368384

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

271612



#### (7.7.4) Methodological details

*Emissions are calculated according to GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance. Scope 2 emissions are verified by a third-party independent auditor to a reasonable level. Scope 2 location based emissions are calculated using regional and/or national based emission factors. Scope 2 market-based emissions are calculated using supplier-specific emission factors used when available and include energy attribute certificates.*

[Fixed row]

### (7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

#### Purchased goods and services

##### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

##### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

964741

##### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

##### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

##### (7.8.5) Please explain

*A cradle to gate emission factor per unit of economic value is assigned for each purchased goods/service commodity category for each region. Annual spend data is from the company's procurement system.*

#### Capital goods

##### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

##### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

1285083

##### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*A cradle to gate emission factor per unit of economic value is assigned for each purchased goods/service commodity category for each region. Annual spend data is from the company's procurement system.*

### Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

122251

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*WTW upstream emissions are calculated for each fuel/energy type based on consumption data provided by utilities/suppliers. T&D loss is also calculated for purchased electricity.*

### Upstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2507

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*A cradle to gate emission factor per unit of economic value is assigned for each purchased goods/service commodity category for each region. Annual spend data is from the company's procurement system.*

### Waste generated in operations

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

26594

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Waste-type-specific method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emission factor is used corresponding to the type of waste treatment. Transportation emissions are calculated for diverted waste, consistent with the GHG Protocol methodology.*

### Business travel

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

10463

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Spend-based method
- ☒ Fuel-based method
- ☒ Distance-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

85

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Commercial flight distance calculated based on ticket itinerary and emission factor applied based on length of flight. Private jet fuel emissions calculated using fuel-based methodology based on fuel consumption. All ground transportation associated emissions are calculated using spend based methodology.*

### Employee commuting

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

9528

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Average data method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*The number of employees for each commuting type is estimated using respective regional commuting statistics. Commuting distance is also based on regional commuting statistics. Emission factors used are based on transportation type. This category does not include fully remote workers and excludes workers who take company provided shuttles where the shuttles' emissions are already included in scope 1 emissions.*

### Upstream leased assets

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Relevant, calculated

## (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2276

## (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

## (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

55

## (7.8.5) Please explain

*Electricity and heating usage is estimated using energy intensity data by building type and lease square footage. Regional emission factors are applied. This category also includes emissions associated with vehicles under operating leases, consistent with GHG Protocol guidance for reporting under financial control.*

## Downstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*The company does not transport and/or distribute sold products in vehicles and/or facilities owned or controlled third-party entities.*

## Processing of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*The company does not produce any intermediate products requiring third-party processing.*

## Use of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*This category is not relevant as emissions from the use of goods and services sold by Sands Corp. (hotel room nights, meeting spaces, & entertainment offerings) are captured in the company's scope 1 and 2 inventory.*

## End of life treatment of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*The company provides services (hotel room nights, meeting spaces, & entertainment offerings) that do not require end-of-life treatment.*

## Downstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*This category is not relevant because emissions from the operation of assets owned by LVS and leased to other entities are included in the company's scope 1 and 2 inventory.*

## Franchises

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*The company is not a franchisor.*

## Investments

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

8

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*The company invests in one or more third-party assets. The emissions factor applied for each investment is based on industry of the investment. LVS has not included in this category the emissions associated with debt investments without known proceeds, as is consistent with GHG Protocol Scope 3 Guidance.*

#### Other (upstream)

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

#### Other (downstream)

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

[Fixed row]

#### (7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from:

	Verification/assurance status
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

**(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.**

## Row 1

### (7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

### (7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

### (7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Reasonable assurance

### (7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

CY2024 LRQA Assurance Statement for LVS Rev1.pdf

### (7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

Pages 2, 4, 5

### (7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISO14064-3

### (7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]



**(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

**(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach**

Select from:  
☒ Scope 2 market-based

**(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place**

Select from:  
☒ Annual process

**(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year**

Select from:  
☒ Complete

**(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance**

Select from:  
☒ Reasonable assurance

**(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement**

CY2024 LRQA Assurance Statement for LVS Rev1.pdf

**(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference**

Pages 2, 4, 5

**(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard**

Select from:  
☒ ISO14064-3

**(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)**

100

**Row 2**

**(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach**

Select from:  
☒ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- ☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

- ☒ Reasonable assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

CY2024 LRQA Assurance Statement for LVS Rev1.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Pages 2, 4, 5

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

- ☒ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100  
[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Investments and services  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Purchased goods    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Capital goods operations  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Waste generated in |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Business travel transportation and distribution                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Upstream           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Employee commuting related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Fuel and energy-   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Upstream leased assets  |   |

### (7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

### (7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

### (7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

### (7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

CY2024 LRQA Assurance Statement for LVS Rev1.pdf

### (7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

Page 2

### (7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISO14064-3

### (7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100  
[Add row]

## (7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Decreased

**(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.**

### Change in renewable energy consumption

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

51263

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

11.9

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Increase in self-generated PV and EAC purchases.*

## Other emissions reduction activities

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3536

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.8

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Increase in energy efficiency project completed through our Ecotracker program.*

## Divestment

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*No divestment in the year.*

## Acquisitions

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

☒ Increased**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

1.1

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation***2024 is the first year that emissions from Nassau Coliseum is included in our inventory.***Mergers****(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

☒ No change**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation***No merger in the year.***Change in output****(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

46696

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

☒ Increased**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

10.8

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation***Business operations increase.*

## Change in methodology

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*No change in methodology.*

## Change in boundary

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*No change in boundary.*

## Change in physical operating conditions

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*No significant changes due to physical operating conditions.*

### Unidentified

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

842

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.2

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Not applicable.*

### Other

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1976

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.5

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Changes from decrease in electricity emission factors.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?**

Select from:

☒ Market-based

**(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.**

	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
China, Macao Special Administrative Region	127885	253947	170632
Singapore	6477	108378	95503
United States of America	21053	6059	5477

[Fixed row]

**(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.**

Select all that apply

☒ By activity

**(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.**

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Mobile combustion - aviation	17539
Row 2	Stationary combustion – (natural gas, town gas, LPG and stationary diesel for emergency generators)	19389
Row 3	Fugitive emissions – (refrigerants)	16507
Row 4	Mobile combustion – ships	81247
Row 5	Mobile combustion – vehicles	20734

[Add row]



(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By activity

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Electricity Purchased and RECs	333320	236796
Row 2	Cooling Electricity	31773	31526
Row 3	Heating Electricity	3291	3291

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

155421

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

368384

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

271612

(7.22.4) Please explain

The reported emissions are associated with all entities for which information is included within LVS' annual financial statements.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

Our response does not include any other entities.  
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

Row 1

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Sands China Limited

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Recreation & entertainment facilities

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ ISIN code - equity

☒ Ticker symbol

(7.23.1.5) ISIN code – equity

KYG7800X1079

(7.23.1.7) Ticker symbol

1928

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

127885

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

253974

#### (7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

170632

#### (7.23.1.15) Comment

Sands China Ltd. is listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange: ticker 1928:HK  
[Add row]

**(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.**

#### Row 1

#### (7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

#### (7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

#### (7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

#### (7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on area

#### (7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Square meters

#### (7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

7980

#### (7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

4

#### (7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

20

### (7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

*Purchased electricity and chilled water for venue electricity and cooling.*

### (7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

*The GHG emissions has been calculated based on the convention space rental by the supplier and the building equipment serving the space. The main uncertainty is the emissions associated with plug load electricity usage for the event.*

### (7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

[Add row]

## (7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

### Row 1

#### (7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☒ Customer base is too large and diverse to accurately track emissions to the customer level

#### (7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

*There are common areas in the convention space (e.g. hallways) which can make allocation challenging. Additionally, submetering cannot be set unique to each rental space for all utilities as there is shared equipment (e.g., AHU) that serves multiple rental spaces. To overcome this challenge we adopt a certain set of assumptions to calculate emissions based on the size of the leased event space, which includes the occupied meeting room as well as adjacent common areas.*

[Add row]

## (7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

### (7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☒ Yes

## (7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities

Currently we provide meeting and event clients with Impact Statements post-event (upon request) which detail absolute greenhouse gas emissions, greenhouse gas emissions per delegate, energy and water use, as well as a variety of other ESG and sustainability indicators such as number of sustainable meals served, information about air quality and labor rights. We are constantly evolving our Impact Statements to meet demand and align with sustainability best practices. We work closely with clients to reduce the environmental footprint of their event and are open to collaborative initiatives.

[Fixed row]

## (7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☒ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

## (7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

## (7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

### Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

#### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV (higher heating value)

#### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

#### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

578876

#### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

578876.00

### Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

#### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

455000

#### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

386632

#### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

841632.00

### Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

#### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

#### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

2805

#### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

2805.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

9409

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

9409.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

76318

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

76318.00

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

881

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

881.00

## Total energy consumption

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

455881

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1054039

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1509920.00

[Fixed row]

## (7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

## (7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

### Sustainable biomass

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value



Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

#### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

#### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not use this fuel source.*

### Other biomass

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

#### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

#### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not use this fuel source.*

### Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

#### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

#### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not use this fuel source.*

### Coal

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

#### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

#### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not use this fuel source.*

### Oil

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

477519

#### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

68

#### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

477451

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*Oil includes various vehicle fuel, marine fuel, jet fuel and stationary diesel fuel for emergency generators.*

#### Gas

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

101356

#### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

#### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

101356

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*Gas includes LPG, natural gas and SG town gas used for building heating and kitchens.*

#### Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

#### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

#### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not use this fuel.*

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:  
☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

578876

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

68

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

578808

(7.30.7.8) Comment

total  
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

1097

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

881

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

1097

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

881

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

## **Steam**

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

## **Cooling**

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.**

**Row 1**

**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

*Select from:*

☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

*Select from:*

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

*Select from:*

☒ Electricity

**(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type**

*Select from:*

☒ Large hydropower (>25 MW)

**(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)**

270000

**(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used**

*Select from:*

☒ I-REC

**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

*Select from:*

☒ China

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

*Select from:*

☒ Yes

**(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)**

2019

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

N/A

## Row 2

**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

**(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier**

Select from:

☒ Electricity

**(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Large hydropower (>25 MW)

**(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)**

100000

**(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used**

Select from:

☒ I-REC

**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

☒ China

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)**

2015

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

n/a  
[Add row]

**(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.**

**China, Macao Special Administrative Region**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

650645

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

48

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

650693.00

**Singapore**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

184810

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

163

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

77416



**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

262389.00

**United States of America**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

6177

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

670

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

11115

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

17962.00

[Fixed row]

**(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.**

**Row 1**

**(7.45.1) Intensity figure**

0.0000378

**(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)**

427033

**(7.45.3) Metric denominator**

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

#### (7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

11298000000

#### (7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

#### (7.45.6) % change from previous year

9

#### (7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

#### (7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

☒ Change in revenue

#### (7.45.9) Please explain

Increase in EAC purchase.

[Add row]

### (7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

#### Row 1

#### (7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Waste

#### (7.52.2) Metric value

6

#### (7.52.3) Metric numerator

percentage

#### (7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

0

#### (7.52.5) % change from previous year

**(7.52.6) Direction of change**

Select from:

☒ Decreased**(7.52.7) Please explain**

We have a 2025 target of 5% increase in operational waste diversion rate compared to a 2019 baseline. We have increased our diversion rate to 24% in 2024, which is a 6% increase in diversion rate compared to 2019 diversion rate.

[Add row]

**(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?**

Select all that apply

☒ Absolute target**(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.****Row 1****(7.53.1.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

☒ Abs 1**(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?**

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative**(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter**

SBTi Certificate Near-Term Target Update\_LVS.pdf

**(7.53.1.4) Target ambition**

Select from:

☒ Well-below 2°C aligned**(7.53.1.5) Date target was set**

12/08/2022

**(7.53.1.6) Target coverage**

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- ☒ Methane (CH4)
- ☒ Nitrous oxide (N2O)
- ☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- ☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- ☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
- ☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)
- ☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)

### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2

### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- ☒ Market-based

### (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2018

### (7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

228255

### (7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

631407

### (7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

### (7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

859662.000

### (7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

### (7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

12/31/2025

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

17.5

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

709221.150

**(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

155421

**(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

271612

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

427033.000

**(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

**(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year**

287.57

**(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Achieved

**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*The target is organization-wide and aligned with our financial year.*

### (7.53.1.83) Target objective

*Sands is committed to environmental responsibility by promoting sustainable development and by seeking continual improvements designed to reduce the impact on the natural environment from our direct operations and the activities of our suppliers and customers (collectively, the “value chain”), while enhancing the comfort and well-being of our guests and Team Members.*

### (7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.53.1.86) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

*Our low carbon transition strategy for our direct operations is centered on the three following strategic initiatives: energy efficiency, renewable energy, and transportation. Increasing renewable energy solutions, including installation of on-site solar photovoltaic solutions and the purchase of energy attribute certificates, contributed most to achieving the target.*

## Row 2

### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 2

### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, and we have committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative in the next two years

### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

12/30/2023

### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)

☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>)

☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF<sub>3</sub>)

- ☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- ☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- ☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2

### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- ☒ Market-based

### (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2018

### (7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

228255

### (7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

631407

### (7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

### (7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

859662.000

### (7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100.0

### (7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100.0

### (7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100.0

#### (7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

#### (7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

30

#### (7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

601763.400

#### (7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

155421

#### (7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

271612

#### (7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

427033.000

#### (7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

#### (7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

167.75

#### (7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved

#### (7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*The target is organization-wide and aligned with our financial year.*

#### (7.53.1.83) Target objective

*Sands is committed to environmental responsibility by promoting sustainable development and by seeking continual improvements designed to reduce the impact on the natural environment from our direct operations and the activities of our suppliers and customers (collectively, the “value chain”), while enhancing the comfort and well-being of our guests and Team Members.*



### (7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

### (7.53.1.86) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

Our low carbon transition strategy for our direct operations is centered on the three following strategic initiatives: energy efficiency, renewable energy, and transportation. Increasing renewable energy solutions, including installation of on-site solar photovoltaic solutions and the purchase of energy attribute certificates, contributed most to achieving the target.

[Add row]

### (7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ No other climate-related targets

### (7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO<sub>2</sub>e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO <sub>2</sub> e savings in metric tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> e
Under investigation	0	`Numeric input
To be implemented	0	0
Implementation commenced	0	0
Implemented	2	2643
Not to be implemented	0	`Numeric input

[Fixed row]

#### (7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

#### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Maintenance program

#### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

663

#### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

#### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

☒ Voluntary

#### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

156843

#### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

6000

#### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

*Select from:*

☒ <1 year

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

*Select from:*

☒ 6-10 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Carpark Exhaust Control Retro commissioning*

### Row 2

#### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

#### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1980

#### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

#### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

#### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

1210594

#### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

3431438

#### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 1-3 years

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 6-10 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

AHU upgrades with high efficiency fan integration for building podium area

[Add row]

### (7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

#### Row 1

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

#### (7.55.3.2) Comment

Our Sands ECO360 program drives investment in emission reduction activities along with other environmental initiatives each year. Our 'ECOTracker' projects are efficiency, conservation, and optimization driven projects related to energy, water, and waste. Additionally, the Sands ECO360 program carries out other types of environmental initiatives related to our 'key themes' including low-carbon transition, water stewardship, materials and resources, and waste. The program is based on three pillars which represent our operational areas including: Building Design and Development, Resort Management and Operations, Meetings, Events and Entertainment. Sands ECO360 has a dedicated property-specific budget each year for energy, water, and waste conservation and efficiency projects.

## Row 2

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Lower return on investment (ROI) specification

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

Our Sands ECO360 program drives investment in emission reduction activities along with other environmental initiatives each year. Our 'ECOTracker' projects are efficiency, conservation, and optimization driven projects related to energy, water, and waste. Additionally, the Sands ECO360 program carries out other types of environmental initiatives related to our 'key themes' including low-carbon transition, water stewardship, materials and resources, and waste. The program is based on three pillars which represent our operational areas including: Building Design and Development, Resort Management and Operations, Meetings, Events and Entertainment. We evaluate all Sands ECO360 and ECOTracker projects using internal finance mechanisms and sometimes make exceptions for environmentally beneficial projects that have low ROIs or otherwise do not meet certain company criteria, based on other indirect benefits identified. In addition, we consider projects such as water conservation that typically have lower ROIs that fall below our company's ROI threshold in order to advance water conservation.

## Row 3

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Financial optimization calculations

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

Our Sands ECO360 program drives investment in emission reduction activities along with other environmental initiatives each year. Our 'ECOTracker' projects are efficiency, conservation, and optimization driven projects related to energy, water, and waste. Additionally, the Sands ECO360 program carries out other types of environmental initiatives related to our 'key themes' including low carbon transition, water stewardship, materials and resources, and waste. The program is based on three pillars which represent our operational areas including: Building Design and Development, Resort Management and Operations, Meetings, Events and Entertainment. We conduct financial optimization calculations for all ECOTracker projects.

## Row 4

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Internal finance mechanisms

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Our Sands ECO360 program drives investment in emission reduction activities along with other environmental initiatives each year. Our 'ECOTracker' projects are efficiency, conservation, and optimization driven projects related to energy, water, and waste. Additionally, the Sands ECO360 program carries out other types of environmental initiatives related to our 'key themes' including low-carbon transition, water stewardship, materials and resources, and waste. The program is based on three pillars which represent our operational areas including: Building Design and Development, Resort Management and Operations, Meetings, Events and Entertainment. We evaluate all Sands ECO360 and ECOTracker projects using internal finance mechanisms and sometimes make exceptions for environmentally beneficial projects that have low ROIs or otherwise do not meet certain company criteria, based on other indirect benefits identified.*

### Row 5

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

#### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Our Sands ECO360 program drives investment in emission reduction activities along with other environmental initiatives each year. Our 'ECOTracker' projects are efficiency, conservation, and optimization driven projects related to energy, water, and waste. Additionally, the Sands ECO360 program carries out other types of environmental initiatives related to our 'key themes' including low-carbon transition, water stewardship, materials and resources, and waste. The program is based on three pillars which represent our operational areas including: Building Design and Development, Resort Management and Operations, Meetings, Events and Entertainment. We consider low-carbon products throughout the year and during annual ECOTracker project planning. We also work with some suppliers to identify and develop low-carbon products for our properties.*

### Row 6

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

#### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Our Sands ECO360 program drives investment in emission reduction activities along with other environmental initiatives each year. Our 'ECOTracker' projects are efficiency, conservation, and optimization driven projects related to energy, water, and waste. Additionally, the Sands ECO360 program carries out other types of environmental initiatives related to our 'key themes' including low-carbon transition, water stewardship, materials and resources, and waste. The program is based on three pillars which represent our operational areas including: Building Design and Development, Resort Management and Operations, Meetings, Events and Entertainment. We consider compliance with regulatory requirements/standards during Sands ECO360 annual planning. We also consider compliance with regulatory requirements as part of our Environmental Management System.*

### Row 7

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Internal incentives/recognition programs

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Our Sands ECO360 program drives investment in emission reduction activities along with other environmental initiatives each year. Our 'ECOTracker' projects are efficiency, conservation, and optimization driven projects related to energy, water, and waste. Additionally, the Sands ECO360 program carries out other types of environmental initiatives related to our 'key themes' including low carbon transition, water stewardship, materials and resources, waste. The program is based on three priority areas: Building Design and Development, Resort Management and Operations, and Meeting, Events and Entertainment. Members of our management and leadership teams' Management Incentive Program goals and annual bonus are tied to environmental, social and governance performance. Further, we recognize sustainable suppliers in our annual Supplier Excellence awards, which takes place at each of our properties globally.*

### Row 8

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

#### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Our Sands ECO360 program drives investment in emission reduction activities along with other environmental initiatives each year. Our 'ECOTracker' projects are efficiency, conservation, and optimization driven projects related to energy, water, and waste. Additionally, the Sands ECO360 program carries out other types of environmental initiatives related to our 'key themes' including low carbon transition, water stewardship, materials and resources, and waste. The program is based on three pillars which represent our operational areas including: Building Design and Development, Resort Management and Operations, Meetings, Events and Entertainment. Our ECOTracker budget includes projects such as building optimization, process improvement and sub-metering, in addition to energy efficiency, aimed at reducing emissions.*

### Row 9

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Employee engagement

#### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Our Sands ECO360 program drives investment in emission reduction activities along with other environmental initiatives each year. Our 'ECOTracker' projects are efficiency, conservation, and optimization driven projects related to energy, water, and waste. Additionally, the Sands ECO360 program carries out other types of environmental initiatives related to our 'key themes' including low carbon transition, water stewardship, materials and resources, and waste. The program is based on three pillars which represent our operational areas including: Building Design and Development, Resort Management and Operations, Meetings, Events and Entertainment. Properties initiate engagement with Team Member that help reduce emissions in a variety of ways. Sands China Ltd. held a sustainable products roadshow where employees can purchase products offered at lower costs such as LED lightbulbs to help reduce emissions. Properties also carry out other emission reducing activities such as promoting carpooling to work, attending eco-education series, or conserving energy and water.*  
[Add row]

**(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?**

Select from:

☒ No, I am not providing data

**(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?**

Select from:

☒ No

**(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.**

**Row 1**

**(7.79.1.1) Project type**

Select from:

☒ Forest ecosystem restoration

**(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity**

Select from:

☒ Emissions reduction

**(7.79.1.3) Project description**

*The Katingan Peatland Restoration and Conservation Project is located in the Central Kalimantan region of Indonesia and is aimed at reducing and avoiding emissions related to Planned Deforestation and Reforestation in combination with Conservation of Undrained and Partially drained Peatland and Rewetting of Drained Peatland activities.*

**(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

750

**(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement**

Select from:

☒ Voluntary offsetting

**(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (7.79.1.7) Vintage of credits at retirement

2019

#### (7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

☒ Purchased

#### (7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

☒ VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)

#### (7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify : "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality in A/R CDM project activities"

#### (7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

☒ No risk of reversal

#### (7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

☒ Activity-shifting

☒ Ecological leakage

#### (7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

*This project is validated to the VCS Standard, which has requirements to demonstrate no net harm for social and environmental impact.*

#### (7.79.1.14) Please explain

VCU number: 11550-339092292-339093041-VCS-VCU-263-VER-ID-14-1477-01012019-31122019-1 Retired July 24, 2024

[Add row]



## C8. Environmental performance - Forests

### (8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?

	Exclusion from disclosure
Timber products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Cattle products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Soy	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

### (8.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

#### Timber products

##### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Specific product lines

##### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Only office paper, toilet paper, and paper towel are included for this disclosure. The disclosed volume includes products made from recycled pulp.*

##### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

##### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Challenges associated with traceability

##### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

☒ No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*Traceability and weight information is very for products that are a mixture of timber with other materials (e.g., furniture).*

## Cattle products

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Specific product lines

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Only raw beef purchases are included for this disclosure. Food where beef is processed or an ingredient, and furniture or retail items that included cattle products are excluded.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Challenges associated with traceability

### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

☒ No, the volume excluded is unknown

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*Traceability and weight information is very limited and challenging to obtain for cattle products when it is one of many ingredients or materials used in a product.*

## Soy

### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Specific product lines

### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Only purchases of soybeans (dry, frozen, fresh) are included. Food products that include processed or cooked soy products are excluded. Embedded soy is excluded.*

### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Challenges associated with traceability

#### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

☒ No, the volume excluded is unknown

#### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*Traceability and weight information is very limited and challenging to obtain for soy in many of our sourced products that have soy as one of many ingredients (e.g., soy milk, tofu products). We do not have capability at this time to calculate embedded soy.*

### Timber products

#### (8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Specific product lines

#### (8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

*Products made from recycled pulp are excluded.*

#### (8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

#### (8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Challenges associated with traceability

#### (8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are providing the volume excluded

#### (8.1.1.9) Volume excluded (metric tons)

257.8

### (8.1.1.10) Please explain

*It's not possible for our suppliers to trace the country of origin and further information for the recycled pulp used in our paper products.*

[Add row]

## (8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.

	Disclosure volume (metric tons)	Volume type	Sourced volume (metric tons)
Timber products	2213	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced	2213
Cattle products	801	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced	801
Soy	9.3	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced	9.3

[Fixed row]

## (8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes.

### Timber products

#### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ China

#### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

#### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

1069

#### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

#### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Brazil

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

418

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Soy

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ China

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

5.7

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Trader/broker/commodity market

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Timber products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Brazil

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

1027

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Timber products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Japan

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

45

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Timber products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Viet Nam

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

44

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Soy

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Canada

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

0.3

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Trader/broker/commodity market

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Australia

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

186

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ United States of America

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

149

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*



## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Canada

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

29

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Japan

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

16

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ New Zealand

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

15

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Timber products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Indonesia

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

15

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Multiple contracted producers

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Soy

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Viet Nam

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

3.3

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Trader/broker/commodity market

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Argentina

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

16

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

## Cattle products

### (8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Ireland

### (8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

### (8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

10

### (8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (processors)

### (8.5.7) Please explain

*Our suppliers were only able to provide the country of origin data, not further details on state or equivalent jurisdiction level. We will work with our suppliers to try to get more granular traceability data for the future.*

[Add row]

**(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?**

## Timber products

### (8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

### (8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

*The breadth of products we source and numbers of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. Significant additional internal resources are needed to manage and monitor a program to reach a no-deforestation or no-conversion target.*

#### **(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to have other targets related to this commodity in the next two years

#### **(8.7.6) Primary reason for not having other active targets in the reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

#### **(8.7.7) Explain why you did not have other active targets in the reporting year**

*The breadth of products we source and number of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. We currently recommend purchasing FSC-certified timber products and will assess feasibility to set a potential target related to the certified products.*

### **Cattle products**

#### **(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

#### **(8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

#### **(8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

*The breadth of products we source and numbers of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. Significant additional internal resources are needed to manage and monitor a program to reach a no-deforestation or no-conversion target.*

#### **(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to have other targets related to this commodity in the next two years

#### **(8.7.6) Primary reason for not having other active targets in the reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(8.7.7) Explain why you did not have other active targets in the reporting year**

*The breadth of products we source and number of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. We are currently researching different sustainable beef certifications and will assess feasibility to set a potential target related to the certified products.*

## **Soy**

### **(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

### **(8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year**

*The breadth of products we source and numbers of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. Significant additional internal resources are needed to manage and monitor a program to reach a no-deforestation or no-conversion target.*

### **(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target**

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to have other targets related to this commodity in the next two years

### **(8.7.6) Primary reason for not having other active targets in the reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(8.7.7) Explain why you did not have other active targets in the reporting year**

*We source most food products through distributors. Additional processes need to be established with distributors to receive traceability information requested from tier 2 and plus suppliers to manage and monitor any other active targets for the commodity. We will continue to research the feasibility of obtaining additional product data through our supply chain, and setting a related target for soy commodity.*

[Fixed row]

## **(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.**

## **Timber products**

### (8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

- ☒ Chain-of-custody certification
- ☒ Value chain mapping
- ☒ Supplier engagement/communication

### (8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

*We request suppliers to provide whether timber products are FSC-certified.*

## Cattle products

### (8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

- ☒ Value chain mapping
- ☒ Supplier engagement/communication
- ☒ Landscape and jurisdictional approaches

### (8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

*We have sourcing policies on where to source beef, and request suppliers to provide such information. We plan to evaluate responsible cattle certifications and determine whether that will be additional information to be requested from our suppliers.*

## Soy

### (8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

- ☒ Value chain mapping
- ☒ Supplier engagement/communication

### (8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

We can request suppliers to provide the country of origin for soy products and whether they are organic certified.  
[Fixed row]

**(8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes.**

**Timber products**

**(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit**

100

**(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin**

0

**(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin**

0

**(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported**

100.00

**Cattle products**

**(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit**

97



**(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin**

3

**(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin**

0

**(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported**

100.00

**Soy**

**(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit**

0

**(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit**

100

**(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin**

0

**(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin**

0

**(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported**

100.00

[Fixed row]

**(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities.**

**Timber products**

**(8.9.1) DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity**

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to do so within the next two years

### **(8.9.6) Is a proportion of your disclosure volume certified through a scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance?**

Select from:

☒ No

### **(8.9.7) Primary reason for not assessing DF/DCF status**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(8.9.8) Explain why you have not assessed DF/DCF status**

*The breadth of products we source and numbers of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. Additional internal resources are needed to assess and manage DF/DCF status of sourced commodities.*

## **Cattle products**

### **(8.9.1) DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity**

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to do so within the next two years

### **(8.9.6) Is a proportion of your disclosure volume certified through a scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance?**

Select from:

☒ No

### **(8.9.7) Primary reason for not assessing DF/DCF status**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(8.9.8) Explain why you have not assessed DF/DCF status**

*The breadth of products we source and numbers of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. Additional internal resources are needed to assess and manage DF/DCF status of sourced commodities.*

## **Soy**

### **(8.9.1) DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity**

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to do so within the next two years

### **(8.9.6) Is a proportion of your disclosure volume certified through a scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance?**

Select from:

☒ No

### **(8.9.7) Primary reason for not assessing DF/DCF status**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(8.9.8) Explain why you have not assessed DF/DCF status**

*The breadth of products we source and numbers of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. Additional internal resources are needed to assess and manage DF/DCF status of sourced commodities.*  
[Fixed row]

## **(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.**

### **Timber products**

#### **(8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint**

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

#### **(8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

#### **(8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint**

*The breadth of products we source and numbers of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. Additional internal resources are needed to assess and manage DF/DCF status of sourced commodities.*

### **Cattle products**

#### **(8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint**

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

#### **(8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint**

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint

*The breadth of products we source and numbers of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. Additional internal resources are needed to assess and manage DF/DCF status of sourced commodities.*

## Soy

### (8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

### (8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint

*The breadth of products we source and numbers of suppliers we work with in our supply chain is very wide. Additional internal resources are needed to assess and manage DF/DCF status of sourced commodities.*

[Fixed row]

**(8.11) For volumes not assessed and determined as deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF), indicate if you have taken actions in the reporting year to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes.**

	Actions taken to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes
Timber products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Cattle products	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to within the next two years
Soy	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

**(8.11.1) Provide details of actions taken in the reporting year to assess and increase production/sourcing of deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) volumes.**

### Timber products

#### (8.11.1.1) Action type

Select from:

☒ Increasing physical certification

#### (8.11.1.2) % of disclosure volume that is covered by this action

100

#### (8.11.1.3) Indicate whether you had any major barriers or challenges related to this action in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ No

#### (8.11.1.5) Provide further details on the actions taken, their contribution to achieving DCF status, and any related barriers or challenges

*As part of our Sustainable Sourcing policy, we request suppliers to provide whether timber products are FSC-certified, and buyers should purchase products that have the certification where feasible.*  
[Add row]

**(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.**

### Timber products

#### (8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (8.12.2) Certification details are available for the volumes sold to any requesting CDP Supply Chain members

Select from:

☒ No

#### (8.12.3) Primary reason certification details are not available for the volumes sold to any requesting CDP Supply Chain members

Select from:

☒ Insufficient resources to complete collection of data

#### **(8.12.4) Explain why certification details are not available for the volumes sold to any requesting CDP Supply Chain members**

*CDP Supply Chain members whom we receive requests from are usually our convention and exhibition customers. There are insufficient resources to assess and identify all products that were used by or served to the member that contains the commodity.*

### **Cattle products**

#### **(8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted**

*Select from:*

☒ No, but we plan to adopt third-party certification within the next two years

#### **(8.12.5) Primary reason that third-party certification has not been adopted**

*Select from:*

☒ No standardized procedure

#### **(8.12.6) Explain why third-party certification has not been adopted**

*Given many beef certifications are region specific, we have been undergoing internal value chain mapping to identify the appropriate certifications to include in our sustainable sourcing strategy.*

### **Soy**

#### **(8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted**

*Select from:*

☒ No, and we do not plan to adopt third-party certification within the next two years

#### **(8.12.5) Primary reason that third-party certification has not been adopted**

*Select from:*

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

#### **(8.12.6) Explain why third-party certification has not been adopted**

*We are developing internal expertise on soy-related certifications for the regions we source from.  
[Fixed row]*

**(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?**

	GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated
Timber products	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not willing to share details with requesting CDP Supply Chain members
Cattle products	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not willing to share details with requesting CDP Supply Chain members
Soy	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not willing to share details with requesting CDP Supply Chain members

[Fixed row]

**(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.**

#### **(8.14.1) Assess legal compliance with forest regulations**

*Select from:*

☒ Yes, from suppliers

#### **(8.14.2) Aspects of legislation considered**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Environmental protection

☒ Labor rights

#### **(8.14.3) Procedure to ensure legal compliance**

*Select all that apply*

☒ Certification

☒ Supplier self-declaration

☒ Third party audits

#### **(8.14.4) Indicate if you collect data regarding compliance with the Brazilian Forest Code**

*Select from:*

☒ No, and we do not plan to collect data on this indicator within the next two years

#### **(8.14.5) Please explain**

*We source beef from Brazil. We will conduct further baseline analysis to understand feasibility and process to collect data on the Brazilian Forest Code. Currently we do not know if we can do so within the next two year.*

[Fixed row]

**(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals?**

	Engagement in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

[Fixed row]

**(8.15.1) Indicate the criteria you consider when prioritizing landscapes and jurisdictions for engagement in collaborative approaches to sustainable land use and provide an explanation.**

**(8.15.1.1) Criteria for prioritizing landscapes/jurisdictions for engagement**

- Select all that apply
- ☒ Commodity sourcing footprint
  - ☒ Organization has operational presence in area
  - ☒ Current and future sourcing risk
  - ☒ Supply of commodities strategically important

**(8.15.1.2) Explain your process for prioritizing landscapes/jurisdictions for engagement**

We prioritize landscapes and jurisdictions based on either our direct operations in the jurisdiction or the location of commodities and suppliers of strategic importance to our business operations.

[Fixed row]

**(8.15.2) Provide details of your engagement with landscape/jurisdictional initiatives to sustainable land use during the reporting year.**

Row 1

**(8.15.2.1) Landscape/jurisdiction ID**

- Select from:
- ☒ LJ1

**(8.15.2.2) Name of initiative**

Macao Environmental Protection Bureau Working Group on the Implementation of Environmental Protection Measures for Macau Integrated Hotel and Entertainment Enterprises



### (8.15.2.3) Country/area

Select from:

☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region

### (8.15.2.4) Name of landscape or jurisdiction area

Macao

### (8.15.2.6) Indicate if you can provide the size of the area covered by the initiative

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (8.15.2.7) Area covered by the initiative (ha)

3300

### (8.15.2.8) Type of engagement

Select all that apply

☒ Partner: Shares responsibility with other stakeholders to manage and implement actions.

☒ Implementer: Executes actions based on the collective goals

### (8.15.2.9) Engagement start year

2021

### (8.15.2.10) Engagement end year

Select from:

☒ Not defined

### (8.15.2.11) Estimated investment over the project period

190000

### (8.15.2.12) Landscape goals supported by engagement

Environmental

☒ Decreased ecosystem degradation rate

Governance

☒ Governance forums that represent all relevant stakeholders in place and maintained

Social

☒ Ensuring local communities and smallholders benefit from the outcomes of landscape/jurisdictional initiative

### (8.15.2.13) Organization actions supporting initiative

Participate in planning and multi-stakeholder alignment

☒ Identify and act on opportunities for pre-competitive collaboration with your sector

Build community and multi-stakeholder capacities

☒ Promote and implement climate change adaptation and mitigation activities

Support and incentivize sustainable production and community land use practices

☒ Improve sustainability of waste management practices

#### (8.15.2.14) Type of partners engaged in the initiative design and implementation

Select all that apply

☒ Sub-national government

☒ Private sector

#### (8.15.2.15) Description of engagement

*Macao DSPA released its second Environmental Protection Plan covering the years 2021 through 2025. The plan includes focus on ecological protection, ranging from ecosystem restoration to marine conservation. Sands China Limited is part of the DSPA's Working Group on the Implementation of Environmental Protection Measures for Macao Integrated Hotel and Entertainment Enterprises, which work collectively across the hospitality industry and along with DSPA, to advance the Macao Environmental Protection Plan. Through the Drop by Drop program, Sands has sponsored annually mangrove and wetland research, mangrove planting, and community education campaigns from the University of Saint Joseph in Macao.*

#### (8.15.2.16) Collective monitoring framework used to measure progress towards landscape goals and actions

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to monitor progress in the next two years

#### (8.15.2.18) Claims made

Select from:

☒ No, we are not making any claims, and we do not plan to within the next two years

[Add row]

**(8.15.3) For each of your disclosed commodities, provide details on the disclosure volume from each of the landscapes/jurisdictions you engage in.**

**Row 1**

#### (8.15.3.1) Landscape/jurisdiction ID

Select from:

☒ LJ1

### **(8.15.3.2) Does any of your produced and/or sourced commodity volume originate from this landscape/jurisdiction, and are you able/willing to disclose information on this volume?**

Select from:

☒ No, we do not produce/source from this landscape/jurisdiction

[Add row]

### **(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### **(8.16.1) Provide details of the external activities to support the implementation of your policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains**

##### **Row 1**

#### **(8.16.1.1) Commodity**

Select all that apply

☒ Timber products

☒ Cattle products

☒ Soy

#### **(8.16.1.2) Activities**

Select all that apply

☒ Engaging with non-governmental organizations

#### **(8.16.1.3) Country/area**

Select from:

☒ Singapore

#### **(8.16.1.4) Subnational area**

Select from:

☒ Not applicable

#### **(8.16.1.5) Provide further details of the activity**

*Marina Bay Sands (MBS) has partnered with WWF-Singapore to strengthen responsible sourcing practices and work toward eliminating deforestation and conversion from its supply chain, with the ultimate goal of protecting biodiversity. Through this collaboration, WWF-Singapore is supporting MBS in evaluating its exposure to*

deforestation and conversion risks. The partnership aims to develop recommendations to guide MBS in setting clear commitments and milestones toward achieving a DCF supply chain. This includes advice on supplier engagement strategies and opportunities for collaboration.

[Add row]

## **(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?**

Select from:

☒ Yes

### **(8.17.1) Provide details on your project(s), including the extent, duration, and monitoring frequency. Please specify any measured outcome(s).**

#### **Row 1**

##### **(8.17.1.1) Project reference**

Select from:

☒ Project 1

##### **(8.17.1.2) Project type**

Select from:

☒ Mangrove protection and restoration

##### **(8.17.1.3) Expected benefits of project**

Select all that apply

☒ Disaster risk reduction

☒ Net gain in biodiversity and ecosystem integrity

☒ Restoration of natural ecosystem(s)

##### **(8.17.1.4) Is this project originating any carbon credits?**

Select from:

☒ No

##### **(8.17.1.5) Description of project**

*Las Vegas Sands, through its Drop by Drop grant, the University of Saint Joseph in Macao in its Green Shields: Mangroves as a Nature Based Solution for a Resilient Macao project. This project focuses on using mangroves as a nature-based solution to address environmental challenges in a sustainable manner. Like many coastal cities worldwide, Macao is facing significant environmental issues, including air and water pollution, urban heat island effect, increased flood risks, and the rising frequency and severity of extreme weather events such as super typhoons. Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) offer an innovative approach by harnessing natural processes and ecosystem services to effectively tackle these pressing societal and environmental challenges. While some of the tasks will build upon components from the previous Drop by Drop project, additional tasks will be introduced. The scientific aspect of the project will be enhanced by community-based activities to raise awareness about the importance of mangroves and other wetland habitats. These awareness campaigns will help bridge the gap between science and action, encouraging community participation and engagement in relevant activities. Through*

these efforts, and by investing in and preserving these ecosystems, we aim to contribute solutions to the environmental challenges we face.

#### (8.17.1.6) Where is the project taking place in relation to your value chain?

Select all that apply

☒ Project based in area with direct operations

#### (8.17.1.7) Start year

2020

#### (8.17.1.8) Target year

Select from:

☒ 2025

#### (8.17.1.9) Project area to date (Hectares)

40

#### (8.17.1.10) Project area in the target year (Hectares)

40

#### (8.17.1.11) Country/Area

Select from:

☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region

#### (8.17.1.12) Latitude

22.16

#### (8.17.1.13) Longitude

113.56

#### (8.17.1.14) Monitoring frequency

Select from:

☒ Annually

#### (8.17.1.15) Total investment over the project period (currency)

265000

#### (8.17.1.16) For which of your expected benefits are you monitoring progress?

Select all that apply

☒ Net gain in biodiversity and ecosystem integrity

### (8.17.1.17) Please explain

*Since 2020, the Drop by Drop grant has funded academic research and restoration projects related to mangrove ecosystems in Macao. Professor Karen Arano Tagulao and her students at USJ are monitoring and measuring the Macao mangrove habitat at least annually. Other ongoing projects include studies of microplastics in mangrove forests and other pollutant purification abilities of mangrove forests.*

*[Add row]*

## C9. Environmental performance - Water security

### (9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ No

### (9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

#### Water withdrawals – total volumes

##### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

##### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

##### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*We have water withdrawal data for municipal water and NEWater (Singapore) from monthly utility bills. We collect sub-metered data at our properties for rainwater capture and condensate recovery onsite. Total volumes of water withdrawals are monitored through analysis of month over month and year over year trends. Reasons for changes in withdrawals are then identified by property sustainability teams.*

##### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Water withdrawal is monitored for all owned properties.*

#### Water withdrawals – volumes by source

##### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

##### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

##### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*We have water withdrawal volume data by source for municipal water and NEWater (Singapore) from monthly utility bills. We collect sub-metered data at our properties for rainwater capture and condensate recovery onsite.*

#### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Water withdrawal is monitored for all owned properties.*

### Water withdrawals quality

#### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Daily

#### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*We monitor and test for quality against building code and applicable regulations are conducted regularly (daily, weekly, or monthly depending on source and as needed). We also have our own internal water quality monitoring systems, such as ECOLab, at a majority of our properties that serve as an additional quality test of potable water in addition to testing by local water authorities.*

#### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Water quality tests happen continuously and are monitored on a daily basis at all resort properties in order to ensure that our water exceeds standard requirements and to protect our guests and workers. Further, we routinely test (daily, weekly, monthly, as needed) our pools and spas against various water quality parameters such as microbial properties. Water that is withdrawn from the municipality, well and nano-filtration system for usage in our cooling towers is routinely tested for conductivity, a measure of suitability for its use.*

### Water discharges – total volumes

#### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

#### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

#### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Total water discharge volume is estimated annually through a water consumption and discharge model based on our withdrawal level.*

#### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Total discharge volume is estimated for all properties based on our water discharge model that estimates water consumption by area and type of water bodies (e.g., pool, landscaping, lagoon).*



## Water discharges – volumes by destination

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*All water that is not consumed (i.e., mainly due to evaporation) is discharged to the municipality. Total water discharge volume is estimated annually through a water consumption and discharge model based on our withdrawal level.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Total discharge volume is estimated for all properties based on our water discharge model that estimates water consumption by area and type of water bodies (e.g., pool, landscaping, lagoon).*

## Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*All water that is not consumed (i.e., mainly due to evaporation) is discharged to the municipality for treatment. Total water discharge volume is estimated annually through a water consumption and discharge model based on our withdrawal level.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Water discharges at all properties are sent to, and managed (including testing and treatment) by municipal wastewater treatment plants. All of our properties comply with applicable environmental laws related to discharge requirements. The frequency of monitoring is up to the discretion of the municipalities. We are informed immediately if there are any abnormal water quality issues with discharge.*

## Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Daily

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Water discharge quality by standard effluent parameters is monitored by the municipality for all properties on a daily basis via water sampling.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*PUB Singapore which manages Marina Bay Sands water discharge, regularly collects water from reservoirs, waterworks, service reservoirs, and distribution network and analyzes them at PUB's Water Quality Laboratory. Sands China conducts a sample test during the dry and wet seasons annually to assesses multiple parameters including chemical oxygen demand, and biochemical oxygen demand, among other emissions levels. A weekly report is also monitored for water quality across the cooling tower network. Marina Bay Sands and Sands China comprise 100% of our resort operations.*

## Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Nitrates, phosphates, and pesticides are used in minimal amounts for landscaping purposes, and not used in areas where it would enter our discharged water.*

## Water discharge quality – temperature

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Monitoring of water discharges quality, including temperature testing, done by the municipal wastewater treatment plants. LVS does not discharge water to other sources. Further, as we do not discharge directly into open water bodies, tracking water discharge by temperature is unnecessary for our company operations. As this will be the process for water discharges in future years, we do not expect monitoring of this to become relevant in the future. All of our properties comply with applicable environmental laws related to discharge requirements.*

## Water consumption – total volume

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Water consumption is calculated annually using an internal water model developed with third party consultant and CDP's recommended approach of Consumption = Withdrawal – Discharge. The model considers parameters including sub-metered water use by our chiller plants, evaporation rates of exterior water bodies using regional humidity rates, and estimated loss to groundwater through property specific irrigation practices and approximate efficiency of irrigation systems.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Water data from utility bills or sub-metered data of all properties serve as input into the water consumption model.*

## Water recycled/reused

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*We receive volume data for municipal recycled water (NEWater in Singapore - reclaimed wastewater) in monthly utility bills.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*We source and utilize recycled water (NEWater) at our integrated resort in Marina Bay Sands for nonportable purposes. Our response for "% of facilities/sites/operations" factors in the relevance of this water aspect.*

## The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Daily

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*We monitor and test for quality against building code and applicable regulations are conducted regularly (daily, weekly, or monthly depending on source and as needed). We also have our own internal water quality monitoring systems, such as ECOLab, at a majority of our properties that serve as an additional quality test of potable water in addition to testing by local water authorities. SOPs are in place for each property regarding other workplace sanitation and hygiene criteria.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Water quality tests happen continuously and are monitored on a daily basis at all resort properties in order to ensure that our water exceeds standard requirements and to protect our guests and workers. Further, we routinely test (daily, weekly, monthly, as needed) our pools and spas against various water quality parameters such as microbial properties. Water that is withdrawn from the municipality, well and nano-filtration system for usage in our cooling towers is routinely tested for conductivity, a measure of suitability for its use.*

[Fixed row]

## (9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

### Total withdrawals

#### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

8235

#### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

#### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

Year over year water withdrawal increase by 2.6% in 2024 is attributed to new development projects and temperature-reflected fluctuations. For properties in our portfolio, we continue to identify and invest in water-smart technology and processes to reduce water withdrawals to meet our target to reduce 3% potable water use per square footage from a 2019 baseline. We consider year over year change within +/-5% to be about the same.

**Total discharges**

**(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)**

6885

**(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

**(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast**

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

**(9.2.2.6) Please explain**

Water discharge is estimated and a 2.5% year over year increase is correlated with water withdrawal year over year change. For properties in our portfolio, we continue to identify and invest in water-smart technology and processes to reduce water withdrawals to meet our target to reduce 3% potable water use per square footage from a 2019 baseline. We consider year over year change within +/-5% to be about the same.

**Total consumption**

**(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)**

1351

**(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ About the same

**(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

#### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

We estimate total consumption as a company-wide calculation of total withdrawals minus estimation of total water discharge. 84% of total consumption is evaporation loss from our cooling towers, 14% from evaporation loss from external water bodies (mainly pools), and remaining 2% from evaporation from internal water bodies (water canals) and irrigation. For properties in our portfolio, we continue to identify and invest in water-smart technology and processes to reduce water withdrawals to meet our target to reduce 3% potable water use per square footage from a 2019 baseline. We saw a 3.1% increase year over year; we consider year over year change within +/-5% to be about the same.

[Fixed row]

**(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.**

	Withdrawals are from areas with water stress	Identification tool	Please explain
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WRI Aqueduct	The WRI Aqueduct tool indicated Singapore and Macau are both overall low water stress regions.

[Fixed row]

**(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.**

**Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes**

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

#### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

### (9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Freshwater withdrawal consists of rainwater. We anticipate future to increase as we are exploring new rainwater capture systems.*

## Brackish surface water/Seawater

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Not relevant water source*

## Groundwater – renewable

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Not relevant water source*

## Groundwater – non-renewable

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Not relevant water source*

## Produced/Entrained water

### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

#### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

29

#### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

#### (9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

#### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Produced water consists of condensate capture water. The variation is from different weather and equipment usage. We anticipate future to increase as we are working on installing new condensate capture systems.*

### Third party sources

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

#### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

8198

#### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

#### (9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

#### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Water withdrawal from municipal sources is our main source of water for resort services and daily operations. Withdrawal volume is calculated from billing data. We also source approximately 1% of total water from NEWater, a highly treated reclaimed wastewater produced by Singapore's Public Utilities Board. Third party water usage increased this year compared to previous reporting year due to water use for new construction development site, weather fluctuations, and changes in business visitation. We continue to identify and invest in water-smart technology and processes to reduce water withdrawals to meet our target to reduce 3% potable water use per square footage from a 2019 baseline.*



[Fixed row]

## (9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

### Fresh surface water

#### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*We do not discharge to fresh surface water as all of our direct discharge goes to municipalities in accordance with applicable building code and local, regional, and federal regulations.*

### Brackish surface water/seawater

#### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*We do not discharge to brackish surface water/seawater as all of our direct discharge goes to municipalities in accordance with applicable building code and local, regional, and federal regulations. We do not anticipate water discharge to brackish surface water/sea water to be relevant in the future based on current property locations.*

### Groundwater

#### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

#### (9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

798

#### (9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

#### (9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Facility expansion

#### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*This source of water discharge is relevant as water from our resorts' outdoor irrigation and landscaped areas can percolate into groundwater. An internal water model is used to estimate groundwater discharge. Irrigation discharge from a new corporate headquarter facility is included for the first time this year, resulting in the much higher increase this year. We define much higher as greater than 25% YOY increase.*

## Third-party destinations

### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

### (9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

6086

### (9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

### (9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*This source of water discharge is relevant as all of our direct water discharge is sent to municipal wastewater plants which include tertiary treatment in accordance with applicable regional and federal regulations. Our internal water model is used to estimate discharge to third-party sources using property bill data, cooling tower sub-metered information and a variety of facility specific parameters. Our discharge volume decreased this year with water efficiency projects in our cooling towers and operations.*

[Fixed row]

## (9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

### Tertiary treatment

#### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

#### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*All water discharge from all our properties goes to the municipal wastewater plant for treatment.*

### Secondary treatment

### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*All water discharge from all our properties goes to the municipal wastewater plant for treatment.*

### Primary treatment only

### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*All water discharge from all our properties goes to the municipal wastewater plant for treatment.*

### Discharge to the natural environment without treatment

### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Relevant

### (9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

798

### (9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

### (9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Facility expansion

### (9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☒ 51-60

### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*This discharge represents discharged water from our resorts' outdoor irrigation and landscaped areas can percolate into groundwater. An internal water model is used to estimate groundwater discharge. Irrigation discharge from a new corporate headquarter facility is included for the first time this year, resulting in the much higher increase this year. We define much higher as greater than 25% YOY increase.*

## Discharge to a third party without treatment

### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Relevant

### (9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

6086

### (9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

### (9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

### (9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☒ 100%

### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*This source of water discharge is relevant as all of our direct water discharge is sent to municipal wastewater plants which include tertiary treatment in accordance with applicable regional and federal regulations. Our internal water model is used to estimate discharge to third-party sources using property bill data, cooling tower sub-metered information and a variety of facility specific parameters. Our discharge volume decreased this year with water efficiency projects in our cooling towers and operations.*

## Other

### (9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

### (9.2.9.6) Please explain

*All water discharge from all our properties goes to the municipal wastewater plant for treatment.  
[Fixed row]*

**(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?**

## Direct operations

### (9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

### (9.3.4) Please explain

*The location of our six integrated resorts in Singapore and Macao are in low water stress regions per WRI Aqueduct and WWF Water Filter Risk tools.*

## Upstream value chain

### (9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

### (9.3.4) Please explain

*We do not have suppliers, based on the respective share of our procurement spend and availability of substitutes, that pose a substantive level dependency, risk, impact or opportunity on our organization.*

[Fixed row]

## (9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☒ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

## (9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Revenue (currency)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
	11298000000	1371949.00	We anticipate total water withdrawal efficiency to increase as we continue to implement water efficiency and resiliency measures.

[Fixed row]

## (9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

### Row 1

### (9.12.1) Product name

*Potable water use intensity in integrated resort*

### (9.12.2) Water intensity value

46

### (9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

☒ Water withdrawn

### (9.12.4) Denominator

*Total developed area (square foot)*

### (9.12.5) Comment

*Unit for water withdrawn is megaliters. We have set a target of 3% reduction in potable water use per square foot in our operations by 2025 from 2019 baseline.*

*[Add row]*

## (9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<i>Our primary business activity is in guest and resort services and we do not have any products containing hazardous substances.</i>

*[Fixed row]*

## (9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

### (9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (9.14.2) Definition used to classify low water impact

*While the hospitality industry does not have a uniform definition of low water impact product, we strive for the highest sustainability standards for our built environment. We have developed our internal, global Sands*

Engineering and Sustainable Development Standards to conform to LEED and Green Mark standards. The specific sections from the standards that our Sands Engineering and Sustainable Development Standards conform to related to low water impact are: LEED Indoor and Outdoor Water Use, Existing Buildings O&M for Cooling Tower Water Use; Green Mark: Part 3 - Resource Stewardship including Water Efficient Fittings and Water. For example, the flushing performance requirement in our Sustainable Development Standards is under 3.5 liters per main flush to reduce water quantity, consistent with US EPA WaterSense / Singapore PUB WELS levels.

#### (9.14.4) Please explain

Our resorts are built with sustainability in mind all the way from design to development. Our internal Sustainable Development Standards specify water standards for new development and renovation projects including performance metrics for plumbing, fixtures, appliances, and landscaping; blackwater/graywater recycling recommendations; processed water use; and water system design. We strive for 100% internal adoption of these standards. Our standards conform at minimum with LEED and Green Mark performance requirements, such as the LEED Indoor and Outdoor Water Use, Existing Buildings O&M for Cooling Tower Water Use; Green Mark: Part 3 - Resource Stewardship including Water Efficient Fittings and Water.

[Fixed row]

#### (9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☒ Yes

**(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.**

#### Water pollution

##### (9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### Water withdrawals

##### (9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

##### (9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

#### (9.15.1.2) Please explain

We maintain very high operational standards for sanitation and hygiene given the nature of our business, which provides dining and leisure services to guests. We at minimum comply with all applicable sanitation and hygiene related laws and regulations at all properties, which include providing safely managed drinking water and sanitation services for all direct operations. Our resorts are in highly developed urban areas, where local populations have safely managed drinking water services and sanitation services.

## Other

### (9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

### (9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

#### Row 1

#### (9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Target 1

#### (9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

#### (9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

☒ Reduction in withdrawals per product

#### (9.15.2.4) Date target was set

01/01/2021

#### (9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/31/2019

#### (9.15.2.6) Base year figure

51

#### (9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/31/2025

#### (9.15.2.8) Target year figure



**(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure**

46

**(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year***Select from:*☒ Achieved**(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year**

250

**(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target***Select all that apply*☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6**(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions***Target covers 100% of owned operations.***(9.15.2.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target***Ongoing efficiency and water-diversification projects have contributed to a decrease in our potable water intensity per developed square foot as compared to the base year.***(9.15.2.16) Further details of target***Our 2025 target is 3% decrease in potable water intensity (gal. per square foot) compared to a 2019 baseline.***Row 2****(9.15.2.1) Target reference number***Select from:*☒ Target 2**(9.15.2.2) Target coverage***Select from:*☒ Business division**(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric**

Water pollution

☒ Reduction in water discharge volumes

#### (9.15.2.4) Date target was set

08/01/2024

#### (9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/31/2019

#### (9.15.2.6) Base year figure

6309012

#### (9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/31/2025

#### (9.15.2.8) Target year figure

9463518

#### (9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

7790613

#### (9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

#### (9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

47

#### (9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6

#### (9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*Target covers all integrated resort operations*

#### (9.15.2.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*We have additional condensate capture projects underway for implementation to continue to progress towards our target. We expect the progress to be stepwise corresponding to when new projects are operational.*

#### (9.15.2.16) Further details of target

*Our 2025 target is to increase the amount of condensate capture by 50% compared to a 2019 baseline; performance disclosed in gallons. Instead of the condensate water being discharged, it is used for other operational purposes and replaces additional water that would have been withdrawn and discharged. Our target is based on internal studies and third-party energy/water audit recommendations of condensate capture opportunities at our integrated resorts.*

*[Add row]*

## C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

### (10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

#### (10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

☒ Yes

#### (10.1.2) Target type and metric

Plastic goods/products

☒ Increase the proportion of plastic goods/products which are reusable

☒ Increase the proportion of post-consumer recycled content in plastic goods/products

☒ Increase the proportion of our goods/products that are recyclable in practice and at scale

End-of-life management

☒ Increase the proportion of recyclable plastic waste that we collect, sort, and recycle

#### (10.1.3) Please explain

*We have a 2025 target to increase operational diversion rate, which includes recyclable plastic waste, by 5% from a 2019 baseline. We also have a 2025 target to replace 100% of Sands branded single-use plastics water bottles with a reusable or made sustainable material.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

#### Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

##### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

##### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

#### Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

##### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ Yes

### (10.2.2) Comment

*We provide goods to clients that use plastic packaging as part of our resort (e.g., bathroom amenities) and restaurant (e.g., takeaway containers, utensils) operations.*

## Provision of waste management and/or water management services

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

## Other activities not specified

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

### (10.2.2) Comment

N/A

[Fixed row]

## (10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content.

### Plastic packaging used

#### (10.5.1) Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)

736

#### (10.5.2) Raw material content percentages available to report

Select all that apply

☒ % pre-consumer recycled content

☒ % post-consumer recycled content

#### (10.5.5) % pre-consumer recycled content

(10.5.6) % post-consumer recycled content

(10.5.7) Please explain

The data reported this year includes products provided to customers on a complimentary basis, for single-use and disposable attributes. This includes Sands-branded water bottles; guest room liquid amenity containers and amenity kit boxes; disposable cups, plates, bowls, cutlery, takeaway containers, straws and stirrers; and disposable laundry and shopping bags. The percentage is calculated by product/packaging weight. Data not available whether recycled content is pre or post-consumer are estimated as 50% each of pre-consumer and post-consumer recycled content.  
[Fixed row]

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

Plastic packaging used

(10.5.1.1) Percentages available to report for circularity potential

Select all that apply  
☒ % technically recyclable

(10.5.1.3) % of plastic packaging that is technically recyclable

(10.5.1.5) Please explain

Percentage is calculated by weight of recyclable plastics divided by total weight of the indicated scope explained in 10.5. We have included all recyclable products in technically recyclable as in practice there are some limitations due to local municipality recycling rules. For example, any container that has food contamination is not accepted by either Singapore or Macao recycler. Additionally, the recycler does not accept our bathroom amenity containers despite it being made of recyclable material as they are below the accepted size threshold.  
[Fixed row]

(10.6) Provide the total weight of waste generated by the plastic you produce, commercialize, use and/or process and indicate the end-of-life management pathways.

Usage of plastic

(10.6.1) Total weight of waste generated during the reporting year (Metric tons)

(10.6.2) End-of-life management pathways available to report

Select all that apply

- ☒ Recycling
- ☒ Waste to Energy

(10.6.4) % recycling

24

(10.6.6) % waste to energy

76

(10.6.12) Please explain

*Total weight of plastic waste generated during the reporting year is estimated by dividing our diverted plastic operational waste weight for the reporting year with our 24% overall operational diversion rate. All non-diverted waste is processed at waste to energy incineration plants in Macao and Singapore.*  
[Fixed row]



C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

(11.2.1) Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

(11.2.2) Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments

Select all that apply

- ☒ Land/water management
- ☒ Education & awareness
- ☒ Livelihood, economic & other incentives

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	We performed an IBAT assessment and determined proximity as within 2 km of our sites.
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	We performed an IBAT assessment and determined proximity as within 2 km of our sites.
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	We performed an IBAT assessment and determined proximity as within 2 km of our sites.
Ramsar sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	We performed an IBAT assessment and determined proximity as within 2 km of our sites.
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	We performed an IBAT assessment and determined proximity as within 2 km of our sites.
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	We performed an IBAT assessment and determined proximity as within 2 km of our sites.

[Fixed row]

### (11.4.1) Provide details of your organization's activities in the reporting year located in or near to areas important for biodiversity.

#### Row 1

#### (11.4.1.2) Types of area important for biodiversity

Select all that apply

☒ Key Biodiversity Areas

#### (11.4.1.4) Country/area

Select from:

☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region

#### (11.4.1.5) Name of the area important for biodiversity

Taipa - Coloane Important Bird and Biodiversity Area

#### (11.4.1.6) Proximity

Select from:

☒ Overlap

#### (11.4.1.7) Area of overlap (hectares)

55.6

#### (11.4.1.8) Briefly describe your organization's activities in the reporting year located in or near to the selected area

*Our Macau integrated resorts are located in the Taipa-Coloane IBA which includes the entire Taipa and Cotai areas of Macau.*

#### (11.4.1.9) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities located in or near to the selected area could negatively affect biodiversity

Select from:

☒ Yes, but mitigation measures have been implemented

#### (11.4.1.10) Mitigation measures implemented within the selected area

Select all that apply

☒ Operational controls

☒ Abatement controls

#### (11.4.1.11) Explain how your organization's activities located in or near to the selected area could negatively affect biodiversity, how this was assessed, and describe any mitigation measures implemented

*Our properties in Macau are located through the migratory path of endangered bird species. We understand from research that building and marquee lighting can affect the migration path. In turn, we have implemented measures to turn off marquee lighting in the direction of migrations during relevant seasons to reduce the light emissions in the migratory path to mitigate our impact. Additionally, there are mangrove forests located along Macau's coastlines, which are very important for protecting the coastal shorelines and serve as important nursery ground for local biodiversity. We have sponsored research in the mangrove ecosystem and participated in mangrove planting events with the University of St. Joseph (USJ).*

[Add row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply  
☒ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

- Environmental performance – Climate change
- ☒ Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling consumption
  - ☒ Emissions breakdown by business division
  - ☒ Energy attribute certificates (EACs)
  - ☒ Fuel consumption
  - ☒ Waste data

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

- General standards
- ☒ ISAE 3000
  - ☒ ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

LRQA was commissioned by Las Vegas Sands Corp. (LVS) to provide independent assurance of its greenhouse gas emission inventory and environmental data, (hereafter referred to as “the Report”) for the calendar year 2024

(CY2024) against the assurance criteria below to a reasonable level of assurance, and materiality of 5% for Scope 1 and 2 emissions and environmental data, and limited assurance and materiality of the professional judgement of the verifier for Scope 3 emissions and Supplier Corrective Action Implementation, using LRQA’s verification procedure and ISO 14064 - Part 3 for greenhouse gas emissions.

**(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)**

CY2024 LRQA Assurance Statement for LVS Rev1.pdf

**Row 2**

**(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured**

Select all that apply

☒ Water

**(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured**

Environmental performance – Water security

☒ Water withdrawals– total volumes

☒ Water withdrawals – volumes by source

**(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard**

General standards

☒ ISAE 3000

☒ ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements

**(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process**

LRQA was commissioned by Las Vegas Sands Corp. (LVS) to provide independent assurance of its greenhouse gas emission inventory and environmental data, (hereafter referred to as “the Report”) for the calendar year 2024 (CY2024) against the assurance criteria below to a reasonable level of assurance, and materiality of 5% for Scope 1 and 2 emissions and environmental data, and limited assurance and materiality of the professional judgement of the verifier for Scope 3 emissions and Supplier Corrective Action Implementation, using LRQA’s verification procedure and ISO 14064 - Part 3 for greenhouse gas emissions.

**(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)**

CY2024 LRQA Assurance Statement for LVS Rev1.pdf

[Add row]

**(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.**

	Additional information
	n/a

[Fixed row]

**(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.**

#### **(13.3.1) Job title**

*President and Chief Operating Officer*

#### **(13.3.2) Corresponding job category**

Select from:

☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

[Fixed row]

**(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.**

Select from:

☒ No